31A01-1703-PC-S47

FILED JUN 25 2009

IN THE

INDIANA COURT OF APPEALS

Case No.: 31A01-0901-CR-35

Lawrence E. NUNLEY

Appellant (Defendant Below)

VS.

STATE of Indiana

Appellee (Plaintiff Below)

Appeal from the Harrison Superior Court

Case No.: 31D01-0805-FA-389

The Honorable Roger D. Davis, Judge

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME I OF II Pages 1 through 112

> Matthew Jon McGovern Attorney No.: 21016-49 P.O. Box 5583 Evansville, Indiana 47716 (812) 842-0286 Attorney for Appellant

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME I

Chronological Case Summary1
State's Appearance (May 19, 2008)8
Information (May 19, 2008)9
Affidavit for Probable Cause (May 19, 2008)14
Motion to Withdraw as Counsel (June 19, 2008)18
Order Granting Withdrawal of Defense Counsel (June 19,2008)20
Defendant's Appearance Form (July 10, 2008)21
Defendant's Counsel's Acceptance (July 10, 2008)22
Defendant's Motion for Discovery (July 10, 2008)23
Order on Defendant's Motion for Discovery (July 14, 2008)
State's Motion for Discovery (August 7, 2008)29
State's Compliance with Request for Discovery (August 7, 2008)31
Order on State's Motion for Discovery (August 8, 2008)36
Request to Dispose Witnesses at County Expense (August 12, 2008)
Order for Deposition at Public Expense (August 13,2008)
Order for Clerk to Call Jury (September 30, 2008)40
State's Supplemental Compliance with Discovery (October 7, 2008)41
State's Notice to Introduce Extrinsic Act Evidence (October 8, 2008)42
State's Supplemental Compliance with Discovery (October 10, 2008)44
Court Congestion Order (October 14, 2008)45

Motion to Set Hearing on State's 404 (b) Motion (October 22, 2008)46
Order Setting Hearing on State's 404 (b) Motion (October 22, 2008)47
Order for Clerk to Call Jury (October 29, 2008)48
State's Notice to Introduce A. Y.'s Videotaped Interview (November 5, 2008)49
State's Notice to Introduce A. Y.'s Videotaped Interview (November 6, 2008)51
Supplement to Discovery (November 6, 2008)53
Order Setting Hearing on State's Intent to Introduce Evidence (November 6, 200.8)55
State's Amended Notice to Introduce Extrinsic Act Evidence (November 13, 2008)56
Supplement to Discovery (November 14, 2008)59
State's Supplement with Defendant's Motion for Discovery (November 17, 2008)61
State's Motion in Limine (November 17, 2008)
Jury Trial Order for November 18, 200865
Jury Trial Order for November 19, 200866
State's Tender of Final Instructions (November 20, 2008)
Jury Trial Order for November 20, 200870
Guilty Verdicts (November 21, 2008)71
Jury Trial Order for November 21, 200881
Sentencing Order (January 15, 2009)83
Commitment to the Indiana Dept. of Corrections (January 15, 2009)85
Order to Transport (January 15, 2009)86
Abstract of Judgement (January 15, 2009)
Notice of Appeal (February 16, 2009)88
Final Jury Instructions

Notice of Completion of Clerk's Record (March 6, 2009)108
Notice of Filing Transcript (May 15, 2009)110
Notice of Completion of Transcript (May 26, 2009)111
Verification of Accuracy of Appendix (Volume I)112
VOLUME II (CONFIDENTIAL)
Warrant (May 29, 2008)113
Presentence Investigation Report (December 17, 2008)
Information Needed for Protective Order (January 16, 2009)
Deposition of A. Y. (September 30, 2008)194
Deposition of T. C. (September 30, 2008)
Joint Exhibits 1 and 2308
State's Exhibits 5 and 6310
Verification of Accuracy of Appendix (Volume II)

Jun 22, 2009 HARRISON SUPERIOR COURT Page
9:52 am Historical Record for 31D01 0805-FA -000389 0001

STATE OF INDIANA vs. LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY

Party Name: NUNLEY, LAWRENCE E. Defendant
Date Filed: 05/19/08 : CHILD MOLESTING

Status : DECD Judge : RD Offense Dt: 04/13/07 MUNICIPALITY : STA

Status Dt.: 11/21/08 Last Active: 05/28/09

CONTIN/DEF : 2

PAUPER ATTY : Y

INCARCERATED: 05/29/08

Y/N 18 : Y

-#DAYS DELAY : 28

Case Bal.: 414.00 Finding: JTG Fnd Date: 12/21/08

Pty 0001 BENCH WARRANT Status: Served Issued: 05/19/08

Bail: 100000.00 Served: 05/29/08

CASH OR SURETY, 24 HOUR HOLD

Judge DAVIS, ROGER D JUDGE

HARRISON SUPERIOR COURT 812-738-8141 BUS 1445 GARDNER LANE NW 812-738-6337 FAX

CORYDON IN 47112

Plaintiff Prosecuting Atto

STATE OF INDIANA

BYRD, DENNIS DB

1445 GARDNER LANE

CORYDON IN 47112

©Defendant PUBLIC DEFENDER 812-738-1900 BUS 812-738-1986 FAX

Pty 1 NUNLEY, LAWRENCE E. SCHULTZ, SUSAN E 15667-14

13225 RENNER WAY 127 E CHESTNUT ST STE 1
3W Served NE CORYDON IN 47112

PALMYRA IN 47164

Physical: DOB: 10/23/1966 Sex: M Race: WHITE

Eye: GRE Hair: BLO Hgt: 61 Wgt: 220

Driver License: State: IN DL#: 8935771217

Officer: WIBBLES, BILL Agency: INDIANA STATE POLICE

Offense/s Numb Date	Statute Time	CHARGES Arrest/Citation		Dispo
~	Description	Date/s Number	Date	Date
⊋1 0 04/13/07	7	04/13/07		
,, -	35-42-4-3	,,	A FA	G
.	CHILD MOLESTING			01/15/09
	Info Filed Dt: 05/	19/08		0-, -0, 00
<u>-02 0 04/13/07</u>	7	04/13/07		
	35-42-4-3		A FA	G
J.	CHILD MOLESTING			01/15/09
	Info Filed Dt: 05/			
~03 0 04/13/07		04/13/07		
A	35-42-4-3		A FA	G
'1	CHILD MOLESTING			01/15/09
	Info Filed Dt: 05/	19/08		

Jun 22, 2009 9:52 am	HARRISON SUPERIOR COURT Historical Record for 31D01 0805-FA -000389 STATE OF INDIANA vs. LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY	Page 2 0001
	CHARGES Statute Time Arrest/Citation Off Off TyPlea Description Date/s Number Date	Dispo Date
	04/13/07 35-42-4-3 C FC CHILD MOLESTING Info Filed Dt: 05/19/08	G 01/15/09 G 01/15/09
Action Seq Date		Action te 2 Note 3
	G GUILTY OFFENSE DISPOSITION Charge	001 00 002 00 003 00 004 00 005 00
Action Seq Date	Case Actions Description Note 1 No	Action te 2 Note 3
0002 05/30/08 0003 05/30/08 0003 05/30/08 0004 06/19/08	Order entered Granting Withdrawal by Amie Newlon. Cler notify parties. Court appoints Anne Walsh to rep. def. in this cause. reset for 07-03-08 at 9:00 AM. 120/3616-3785, 4278-433 MOTION FOR WITHDRAWAL OF APPEARANCE SW0620 Motion to Withdraw Appearance filed by AMIE NEWLON by f 6-16-08 ORDER OF WITHDRAWAL PLACED IN RJO #138 sw0620 Minute Entry se0703	at 9 k to PTC 0 ax Susan

Page

0001

Jun 22, 2009 HARRISON SUPERIOR COURT

9:52 am Historical Record for 31D01 0805-FA -000389

STATE OF INDIANA vs. LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY

Action Case Actions _3eq Date Description Note 1 Note 2 Note 3 ______ _0008 07/09/08 Defendant's Continuance Granted Parties appear and Defendant requests continuance. Granted. Jury trial continued to 9-16-08 at 9 p.m. Pretrial continued to 8-25-08 at 1 p.m. 137/2526-2745 -0009 07/10/08 APPEARANCE ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT SW0714 Appearance filed by SUSAN SCHULTZ; NOTICE OF ACCEPTANCE OF APPOINTMENT; MOTION FOR DISCOVERY. 0010 07/14/08 Minute Entry Order granting defendant's motion for discovery entered. State to furnish requested information within 30 days. Clerk to notify parties. _0011 07/14/08 RJO FILED IN #139 SW0714 ORDER FOR DISCOVERY. COPIES DIST. , J012 08/07/08 STATE FILES MOTION FOR DISCOVERY; STATE'S COMPLIANCE WITH REQUEST FOR DISCOVERY. _0013 08/08/08 Minute Entry kh0808 Order entered on State's Mot. for Discovery; def. to furnish req. info. w/i 30 days. Clerk to notify parties. 0014 08/08/08 RJO FILED IN #140 sw0808 ORDER ON STATE'S MOTION FOR DISCOVERY. COPIES DIST. 0015 08/12/08 DEFENDANT BY COUNSEL FILES SW0812 REQUEST TO DEPOSE WITNESSES AT COUNTY EXPENSE. 0016 08/13/08 Minute Entry Order for deposition at public expense entered. Clerk to notify parties. 0017 08/13/08 RJO FILED IN #140 SW0813 ORDER FOR DEPOSITION AT PUBLIC EXPENSE. COPIES DIST. 0018 08/25/08 Defendant's Continuance Granted kh0825 Parties appear; counsel for Defendant requests continuance. Granted. Cause continued to 09-22-08 at 1:00 PM. Jury Trial cont. to 10-14-08 at 9:00 AM. 178/1210-1314 0019 09/22/08 Minute Entry kh0922 Parties appear & PTC held; trial dates are confirmed. 220/6128-6450 →020 09/30/08 Minute Entry kh0930 Order entered for Clerk to Call Jury. Clerk to forward copy to the parties. 0021 09/30/08 RJO FILED IN #141 SW1002 ORDER FOR CLERK TO CALL JURY. COPIES DIST. SW1007 SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLIANCE WITH DISCOVERY. _0023 10/08/08 STATE FILES NOTICE OF INTENT TO INTRODUCE SW1008 EXTRINSIC ACT EVIDENCE AT TRIAL. △0024 10/14/08 STATE FILES SW1014 SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLIANCE WITH DISCOVERY. -0025 10/14/08 Minute Entry se1016 Order continuing jury trial due to court congestion entered. Jury trial continued to 11-18-08 at 9 a.m. Clerk to notify parties. 3026 10/14/08 RJO FILED IN #142 SW1016 COURT CONGESTION ORDER. COPIES DIST.

Case 2:19-cv-00012-JRS-DLP Document 15-1 Filed 04/17/19 Page 8 of 117 PageID #: <pageID>

HARRISON SUPERIOR COURT

Jun 22, 2009 HARRISON
9:52 am Historical Record
CTATE OF INDIAN for 31D01 0805-FA -000389 orical Record for 31D01 0805-FA - C STATE OF INDIANA vs. LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY

Page

0001

Case Actions Action Action പ്പാന ്യ3eq Date Description Note 1 Note 2 Note 3 _0027 10/22/08 STATE FILES MOTION TO SET HEARING ON STATE'S 404(b) MOTION. △031 10/22/08 Minute Entry kh1105 Order entered setting hrq. on State's 404(b) Motion for 11-14-08 at 2:00 PM. Clerk to notify parties. 0033 10/22/08 RJO FILED IN #142 ORDER SETTING HEARING ON STATE'S 404(b) MOTION. COPIES DIST. 0028 10/29/08 Minute Entry kh1029 order entered for Clerk to Call Jury. Clerk to notify parties. `-0029 10/29/08 RJO FILED IN #142 ORDER FOR CLERK TO CALL JURY. COPIES DIST. 030 11/05/08 STATE FILES NOTICE OF INTENT TO INTRODUCE A.Y.'S VIDEOTAPED INTERVIEW INTO EVIDENCE PURSUANT TO INDIANA CODE 35-37-4-6 AND MOTION FOR HEARING. _0035 11/05/08 Minute Entry kh1106 Order entered setting hrg. on State's Intent to Introduce Evidence Pursuant to IC 35-37-4-6(d) for 11-14-08a t 2:00 PM. (Interview at Comfort House) Clerk to forward copies to the parties.)032 11/06/08 STATE FILES NOTICE OF INTENT TO INTRODUCE A.Y.'S STATEMENTS INTO EVIDENCE PURSUANT TO INDIANA CODE 35-37-4-6 AND MOTION FOR HEARING. 7)034 11/06/08 STATE FILES SUPPLEMENT TO DISCOVERY)036 11/06/08 Minute Entry Order entered setting hrg. on State's Intent to Introduce Evidence Pursuant to IC 35-37-4-6(d) for 11-14-08 at 2:00 PM. (A.Y.'s statement) Clerk to forward copies to the parties. 0037 11/06/08 RJO FILED IN #143 ORDER SETTING HEARING ON STATE'S INTENT TO INTRODUCE EVIDENCE PURSUANT TO INDIANA CODE 35-37-4-6(d). COPIES DIST. □038 11/13/08 STATE FILES AMENDED NOTICE OF INTENT TO INTRODUCE EXTRINSIC ACT EVIDENCE AT TRIAL. 7039 11/14/08 STATE FILES SUPPLEMENT TO DISCOVERY SW1114)040 11/14/08 Minute Entry Parties appear & hrg. is held on 404(B) and hearsay issues. Court takes its ruling under advisement. 298/748-6604, 299/0-end; 300/0-6361)041 11/17/08 STATE FILES SUPPLEMENT WITH DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AND PRODUCTION OF EVIDENCE. _0042 11/17/08 STATE FILES MOTION IN LIMINE SW1117 0044 11/18/08 Minute Entry kh1120 Jury Trial Order entered. State appears by its Dep. Pros. Attys; def. appears w/counsel. Venire of jurors appear and are sworn. parties examine jurors and inform the Court that they accept the jury. Court admonishes and releases jury; court adjourned until 11-19-08 at 9:00 AM. 302/0-6591, 303/0-3293, 304/0-7000, 305/0-924 3045 11/18/08 RJO FILED IN #143 sw1121 JURY TRIAL ORDER FOR NOVEMBER 18 2008.

<pageID>

Jun 22, 2009 HARRISON SUPERIOR COURT
9:52 am Historical Record for 31D01 0805-FA -000389
STATE OF INDIANA vs. LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY

Page

0001

Tctio	on .		Case Actions		Action
ු3eq		Description		Note 1 Note 2	
_0046	11/19/08	Minute Entry Parties appear and t		kh1126	
∟		Court gives to the p	parties its Preliminary I reliminary instructions a		
		objections to the Co	ourt's Prel. Instructions	3.	
لــن		parties its ruling of	e of the jury, the Court on State's 404(b0 Motion ss State's Mot. in Limine	and Mot. in	
$\overline{}$		request to admit 404	(b) evidence. Court gra	ints State's	
		defendant's objection	ctain hearsay evidence ov ons pursuant to IC 35-37-	er the 4-6.	
~		State moves for sepa	aration of witnesses; gra	inted.	
L_J		Parties appear; jury	appears; Court reads sa	id Preliminary	
C		ordered made a part	are filed and said instr of the record.	fuctions are	
ليا		State makes opening	statement; def. makes op	ening statement	
		State introduces evi	dence in chief. The hou	ır of adjournment	
∪		at 9:00 A.M. 305/92	t orders trial recessed 4-6985; 306/0-7000; 307/	until 11-20-08 '0-6975;	
7)050	11/19/08	308/0-3555; 309/0-42 RJO FILED IN #143	26	SW1126	
0043	11/20/08	JURY TRIAL ORDER FOR	R NOVEMBER 19 2008 OF FINAL INSTRUCTIONS	SW1120	
0047	11/20/08	Minute Entry		kh1126	
١١		State continues its	appears; trial resumes case in chief. The hour	of adjournment	
$\overline{}$		having arrived, Cour	t orders trial recessed 7-6955; 310/0-6925; 311/0	until 11-21-08	
0051	11/20/08	RJO FILED IN #143	•	SW1126	
0048	11/21/08	JURY TRIAL ORDER FOR Minute Entry		kh1126	
L-1		Parties appear; jury State continues its	appears; trial resumes. case in chief and rests.		
<u></u> i		During the State's o	ase, def. moves for mist	rial; denied.	
		State presents rebut	ey, presents his case in tal evidence and rests.	Def. informs	•
ليا		the Court that he ha	s no surrebuttal evidenc	e and rests.	
ت		Court gives to the p tenders 1 Final Inst	arties its Final Instruc ruction. Court refuses	tions. State	
		requested instruction	n. Def. requests no fin	al instructions.	
ښ		Court's proposed Fin	or the def. have any objectal Instructions.	ections to the	
ال		State makes Closing	Argument; Def. makes clo	sing argument.	
سا		Court reads its Fina	l Instructions and said de a part of the record.	instructions are	:
* 1					

Case 2:19-cv-00012-JRS-DLP Document 15-1 Filed 04/17/19 Page 10 of 117 PageID #: <pageID>

Jun 22, 2009 - 9:52 am

HARRISON SUPERIOR COURT

Historical Record

STATE OF INDIANA vs.

Page 6 for 31D01 0805-FA -000389 LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY 0001

∏Acti 3ea	on Date	Case Actions Actions Note 1 Note 2 No	ction
		_	
		Bailiff is sworn and the jury retires to the jury room to deliberate.	
<u>ب</u>		Parties appear; jury appears and returns in open Court the following verdicts:	
		Ct. 1, Child Molesting, Class A Felony Guilty Ct. 2, Child Molesting, Class A Felony Guilty Ct. 3, Child Molesting, Class A Felony Guilty Ct. 4, Child Molesting, Class C Felony Guilty; and Ct. 5, Dissemination of Matter Harmful to Minors, Class D Felony Guilty.	
		Def., by his attorney, requests the Court to poll the jury. Court polls each juror who indicate that the verdicts are his or her own verdicts. Court accepts the verdicts, are filed and made a part of the record. JOC is entered against the def. on each count.	
·-n		Court orders PSI and sets sent. hrg. for 01-15-09 at 9:00 AM. Def. waives 30-day requirement. Jury is discharged.	
0049	11/21/08	312/1168-6900; 313/0-6950; 314/0-6970; 315/0-4780 JTG GUILTY-JURY TRIAL kh1126 RJ	JO
	11/21/08	See minute entry for 11-21-08. RJO FILED IN #143 SW1126 JURY TRIAL ORDER FOR NOVEMBER 21 2008.	
_0053	12/17/08	Probation files PRESENTENCE LS1217 Probation files Presentence Probation to distribute.	
054(01/15/09	Minute Entry kh0116 Parties appear & sent. hrg. held. Def. is sent. as follows:	
		Ct. 1 for 35 years; Ct. 2 for 35 yrs.; Ct. 3 for 35 yrs.; Ct. 4 for 4 yrs. & 8 mos.; and Ct. 5 for 21 mos. Cts. 1. 2.	
u .		4 & 5 are consecutive; Ct. 3 is concurrent with Cts. 1&2. All time to be served; -0- suspended. Credit t/s 232 act.	
۲، پ		days. Court appts. Melissa Albertson as victim representative. Court costs & \$250 Sexual Assault Victim's Assistance Fee assessed. No bond, payment stayed and days.	
~		after release. Def. is found to be a sexually violent predator persuant to 35-38-1-7.5(b)(1)(c). Def. to have no	
الت		contact w/victim (Protective Order entered). Def. to undergo HIV testing. Def. is ordered to register as se4x	
أسا		offender for life. Def. is ordered not to reside w/i 1,000 feet of school property. Def. is ordered not to reside	
ഥ		within one (1) mile of the victim's residence. Def. is advised of his right to appeal. Court appoints	
(TIT)		Matthew McGovern to rep. the def. for appeal purposes. 12/1730-6970, 13/0-3042	
060س	01/15/09	RJO FILED IN #145 SW0305 SENTENCING ORDER;	
<u>-</u>		COMMITMENT TO INDIANA DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS; ORDER TO TRANSPORT.	
ك			

Jun 22, 2009 ¬9:52 am

09 HARRISON SUPERIOR COURT
Historical Record for 31D0

for 31D01 0805-FA -000389

Page 0001

STATE OF INDIANA vs. LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY

Action		Case Actions Action
_}eq	Date	Description Note 1 Note 2 Note 3
_0055	01/16/09	Minute Entry kh0116
		Request for Info. for Protective Order sent to Pros. Office.
056سا	01/16/09	414.00 Assessed CC0 CRIM COST ONLY 07-08 Chg001 DEF 000
0057	01/21/09	RJO FILED IN #145 SWÖ121
		NO CONTACT ORDER WHILE ON PROBATION.
	02/16/09	DEFENDANT BY COUNSEL FILES sw0217
CJ		NOTICE OF APPEAL.
	03/04/09	Minute Entry kh0305
		IDOC contacts the Court regarding whether the def. is credit
ز∟		restricted felon. The court notifies the State & Counsel
		for def. The State, counsel for def. and the Court agree
<u></u>		that credit restricted felon law effective 07-01-08 does not
		apply to the def.
್ಪ)061	03/06/09	Minute Entry sw0306
		NOTICE OF COMPLETION OF CLERK'S RECORD TO CLERK, COURT OF
ر <u>.</u>		APPEALS. COPIES DIST.
)062	05/15/09	Minute Entry kh0518
<u>ا</u>		Notice of Completion of Transcript filed by Karen Hamilton,
		Court Reporter.
⊸9063	05/26/09	Minute Entry SW0526
		NOTICE OF COMPLETION OF TRANSCRIPT TO CLERK, COURT OF
النبا		APPEALS. COPIES TO COUNSEL.
0064	05/28/09	Minute Entry SW0528
_		TRANSCRIPT - 4 VOLUMES, TABLE OF CONTENTS AND TABLE OF
		EXHIBITS MAILED TO MATTHEW MCGOVERN.
اسا		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

APPEARANCE (Criminal) State of Indiana

Cause Number: 31D01-0805-FA - 389

1 Name of Defendant: <u>LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY</u>

2. Type of Proceeding: CRIMINAL PROCEEDING

PROSECUTING ATTORNEY INFORMATION:

DENNIS L. BYRD Attorney No. 14721-31

Prosecuting Attorney 1445 Gardner Lane, NW., Suite 3101 Corydon, Indiana 47112 (812) 738-4241

John E. Colin Attorney No. 21581-22

Chief Deputy Prosecuting Attorney and/or

Lauren Wheatley Attorney No. 22866-49

Deputy Prosecuting Attorney and/or

Jennifer E. Woolen Attorney No. 25882-49

Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

Julie Fessel-Flanigan and/or Attorney No. 25898-22

Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

3. THE STATE **WILL NOT** ACCEPT SERVICE BY FAX.

- 4. ARREST REPORT NUMBER (Originating Agency Case Number) ISP/WIBBELS
- 5. Additional information required by state or local rule: NONE

Authority: Pursuant to Criminal Rule 2.1 (A), this form shall be filed at the time a criminal proceedings commenced. In emergencies, the requested information shall be supplied when it becomes available. Parties shall advise the court of a change in information previously provided to the court. This format is approved by the Division of State Court Administration.

STATE OF INDIANA) BEFORE THE HARRISON COUNTY

:SS: SUPERIOR COURT

COUNTY OF HARRISON) ANNUAL TERM 2 0 0 8

STATE OF INDIANA COUNT 1: CHILD MOLESTING

I.C. 35-42-4-3

CLASS A FELONY

VS

LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY CAUSE NO. 31D01-0805-FA- 389

INFORMATION

William Wibbels, a Police Officer affirms under the pains and penalties of perjury that on or about the 13th day of April, 2007, in Harrison County, State of Indiana, one LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY, person at least twenty-one (21) years of age, who with a child under fourteen (14) years of age, identified as A.Y., did perform or submit to deviate sexual conduct, to-wit: LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY, who was born October 23, 1966, touched the vagina of A.Y., who was born June 24, 2000, with his mouth,

which is contrary to the form and the statute in such cases made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Indiana.

William Wibbel

Dated this 16th day of May, 2008

APPROVED BY:

Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

STATE OF INDIANA) BEFORE THE HARRISON COUNTY

:SS: SUPERIOR COURT

COUNTY OF HARRISON) ANNUAL TERM 2 0 0 8

STATE OF INDIANA COUNT 2: CHILD MOLESTING

I.C. 35-42-4-3

CLASS A FELONY

VS

LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY CAUSE NO. 31D01-0805-FA- 389

INFORMATION

William Wibbels, a Police Officer affirms under the pains and penalties of perjury that on or about the 13th day of April, 2007, in Harrison County, State of Indiana, one LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY, person at least twenty-one (21) years of age, who with a child under fourteen (14) years of age, identified as A.Y., did perform or submit to deviate sexual conduct, to-wit: LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY, who was born October 23, 1966, had A.Y., who was born June 24, 2000, put her mouth on his penis,

which is contrary to the form and the statute in such cases made and provided and against the peace

William Wibbels

Dated this Landay of May, 2008

and dignity of the State of Indiana.

APPROVED BY:

Deputy Prosecuting Attorney ()

STATE OF INDIANA) BEFORE THE HARRISON COUNTY

:SS: SUPERIOR COURT

COUNTY OF HARRISON) ANNUAL TERM 2 0 0 8

STATE OF INDIANA COUNT 3: CHILD MOLESTING

I.C. 35-42-4-3

CLASS A FELONY

VS

 \Box

LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY CAUSE NO. 31D01-0805-FA- 389

INFORMATION

William Wibbels, a Police Officer affirms under the pains and penalties of perjury that on or about the 13th day of April, 2007, in Harrison County, State of Indiana, one LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY, person at least twenty-one (21) years of age, who with a child under fourteen (14) years of age, identified as A.Y., did perform or submit to deviate sexual conduct, to-wit: LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY, who was born October 23, 1966, put his hand in the vagina of A.Y., who was born June 24, 2000,

which is contrary to the form and the statute in such cases made and provided and against the peace

and dignity of the State of Indiana.

Dated this $\frac{160}{100}$ day of May, 2008

APPROVED BY:

William Wibbels

618

Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

Case 2:19-cv-00012-JRS-DLP Document 15-1 Filed 04/17/19 Page 16 of 117 PageID #: <pageID>

STATE OF INDIANA

STATE OF INDIANA

)

BEFORE THE HARRISON COUNTY

:SS:

SUPERIOR COURT

ANNUAL TERM 2008

COUNTY OF HARRISON)

COUNT 4:

CHILD MOLESTING

I.C. 35-42-4-3

VS

CLASS FELONY

LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY

CAUSE NO. 31D01-0805-FA - 389

INFORMATION

William Wibbels, a Police Officer affirms under the pains and penalties of perjury that on or about the 13th day of April, 2007, in Harrison County, State of Indiana, one LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY, did then and there with a child less than fourteen (14) years of age, identified as A.Y., did perform or submit to any fondling or touching, of either the child or himself, with intent to arouse or to satisfy the sexual desires of either the child or himself, to-wit: LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY touched the vagina of A.Y., who was born June 24, 2000 with his penis.

which is contrary to the form and the statute in such cases made and provided and against the peace

and dignity of the State of Indiana.

Dated. 5-16-08

William Wibbels

APPROVED BY:

Witnesses: William Wibbels and A.Y. Any and all witnesses will be listed in discovery materials provided to the Defendant and/or Counsel thereof.

STATE OF INDIANA) BEFORE THE HARRISON COUNTY

:SS: SUPERIOR COURT

COUNTY OF HARRISON) ANNUAL TERM 2 0 0 8

STATE OF INDIANA COUNT 5: DISSEMINATION OF MATTER

HARMFUL TO MINORS

VS I.C. 35-49-3-3

CLASS D FELONY

LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY

CAUSE NO. 31D01-0805-FA- 389

INFORMATION

William Wibbels, a Police Officer affirms under the pains and penalties of perjury that on or about the 13th day of April, 2007, in Harrison County, State of Indiana, one LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY, did then and there display matter that was harmful to a minor in an area to which the minor had visual, auditory, or physical access, and that the minor was not accompanied by a parent or guardian, to-wit: LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY showed a pornographic movie to A.Y. who was born June 24, 2000, and who was not accompanied by her parent or guardian,

which is contrary to the form and the statute in such cases made and provided and against the peace

and dignity of the State of Indiana.

Dated this 161 day of May, 2008

William Wibbels

APPROVED BY:

Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

STATE OF INDIANA

HARRISON SUPERIOR COURT

COUNTY OF HARRISON

CAUSE NO: 31D01-080\$-FA-389

1

STATE OF INDIANA VS

LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY

13225 Renner Way NE

Palmyra, In 47164 (last known address)

DOB: 10-23-1966

SSN: XXX-XX-7067

W/M

6'01" 220 lbs.

Blond hair/ green eyes

AFFIDAVIT FOR PROBABLE CAUSE

My name is Senior Trooper/ Detective William J. Wibbels, Jr.; I have been employed by the Indiana State Police Department since August 24th, 1997 and have been investigating crimes in and around the Harrison County, Indiana area since April 1st, 1999.

On April 18th, 2008 "A.Y", a seven year old female, was interviewed by Donna Black at the Comfort House Child Advocacy Center in Corydon, Indiana. "A.Y." told Black that she had been the victim of sexual abuse.

"A.Y." told Black that approximately one year prior, LAWRENCE E. "ED" NUNLEY, a male who is 41 years of age, had touched, and penetrated her "pee pee" with his hand(s). "A.Y." also told Black that LAWRENCE E. "ED" NUNLEY had licked her "pee pee" with his tongue.

Case 2": As Y' told Black that LAWRENCE "ED" in MUNICEY that also forced herage in #: perform oral sex on him and had toughted the outside of her "pee pee" with his "weenie bob".

"A.Y." told Black that NUNLEY had made her watch a pomographic film(s) that depicted naked men licking each other's "weenie bobs".

"A.Y" refers to her vagina as her "pee pee", and "weenie bob" is what "A.Y." calls a penis.

Efforts to locate Nunley since April 18th, 2008 have been unsuccessful and Nunley no longer lives at the listed address.

The above-mentioned events happened in the 13000 block of Renner Way in Palmyra, Harrison County, Indiana.

This is not a complete recital of all the information pertaining to this case, But the information listed is to establish that Probable Cause for the arrest of Lawrence E. "Ed" Nunley exists for the offense of three counts of Child Molesting, Class A felony, and one count of Child Molesting, a Class B felony.

I affirm under penalty of perjury as specified by I.C. 35-44-2-1, that the Foregoing representations are true to the best of my knowledge.

Dated this 16th day of May, 2008

1.3

Sr. Trooper/ Detective William J. Wibbels/Jr.

Indiana State Police

STATE OF INDIANA

) :SS: IN THE HARRISON COUNTY

:S

SUPERIOR COURT

COUNTY OF HARRISON)

ANNUAL TERM 2008

STATE OF INDIANA

VS

LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY

CAUSE NO. 31D01-0805-FA- 3

FINDING OF PROBABLE CAUSE FOR ISSUANCE OF WARRANT FOR ARREST

The Court having received sworn evidence this ____ day of May, 2008, now finds there is probable cause to believe that the Indiana Criminal Codes have been violated in that the offenses of COUNT 1:CHILD MOLESTING, a CLASS A FELONY; COUNT 2: CHILD MOLESTING, a CLASS A FELONY; COUNT 3: CHILD MOLESTING, a CLASS A FELONY; COUNT 4: CHILD MOLESTING, a CLASS B FELONY and COUNT 5: DISSEMINATION HARMFUL TO MINORS, a CLASS D FELONY have been committed and that the above-named person has violated said Criminal Codes.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, that upon the filing of a criminal charge Information alleging said offenses, a warrant for such arrest issue by the Clerk of this Court wherein same is filed.

BAIL is set in the amount of CASH: \$

,000 · or

or SURETY

or % DEPOSIT

__24 Hour Hold Applies

Dated this _____ day of May, 2008.

Harrison County Superior C

DOB 10-23-1966

SS# 308-88-7067

OLN: 8935-77-1217

W/M-/601-220-bln/grn

lka: 13225 Renner Way, Palmyra, Indiana 47164

m	On a latt con the	INCOMP	D	at 4 PIMP Cila	-1 0 4 /4 7 /4 0	DIAH O	1 -5 1 1 7 1	EINED //	
71	Case All 90 RV FOR			nt 15ME File		Page-2.	L Of 117 Minimum	Fayen #: n Maximum	
<u>Class</u>	Minimum	<u>Maximum</u>		Manering Page 19 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	Class A Infraction	None	\$0	\$10,000	
Murder	45 years	65 years	\$0 \$0	\$10,000 \$10,000			\$0 \$0	\$1,000	
A Felon	•	50 years	\$0 \$0	\$10,000 \$40,000	B Infraction	None	\$0 \$0	\$500	
3 Felon	•	20 years	\$0 c o	\$10,000 \$10,000	C Infraction D Infraction	None None	\$0 \$0	\$25	
Felon	,	8 years	\$0 \$0	•			\$0 \$0	\$2,500	
D Felon		3 years	\$0 \$0	\$10,000 \$5,000	City Ordinan	ce None	φυ	Ψ2,300	
\ \ Misde		365 days	\$0 \$0	\$5,000 \$4,000			MA		
سائ Misde کسا		180 days	\$0 \$0	\$1,000 \$500		20	an Li		
C Misde	meanor 0	60 days	\$ 0	\$500		allo	WA		
-	0			and an fallering		" (K	30		
س		certain cases ma				O	,•		
		er Death Penal			ifa talihan i fia	\ \ \)un Ll	= Y	
)	Habit	ual Criminal unde	er certain circ	itional 10 mont	he to 30 years	TOILE 1	•	•	
	Papa	ual Felony Offendat - at Sex Offender -	nei ali auui	al 12 to 10 ve	are It if a withou	ıt Parola ir	n certain ca	2585	
اب	Kehe	at Sex Offerider -	- an addition	ai i /2 to to ye	ats/Life withou	it raiole ii	1 OC IGHT OC	1303	
bond or l well. If y commiss	you have prior criminal convictions, the law requires you to serve a mandatory minimum jail or prison sentence in certain istances. Prison or jail sentences are required to be served consecutively (one after the other) if you commit a crime while out on bond or before your probation, parole or suspended sentence is served. Consecutive sentences are required in other situations as well. If you are convicted of any felony offense, it is a crime under state and federal law to own or possess any kind of firearm. 13. If you are convicted of a moving traffic offense (at trial or by guilty plea), the conviction will be sent to the motor vehicle commissioner of the state where you received your driver's license, to become a part of your driving record. A conviction may recease your insurance costs.								
শ্লso caus ou may									
ີ 1 ુhicle w	5. If you are charged hile intoxicated, there	with drunken or is a mandatory 5	drugged drivi i-day jail sent	ing and have b tence, or 80 ho	een convicted ours of commun	within the nity servic	last five ye	ears for operating a	
ຼ 1 operty ເ State.	6. If convicted of viol used in committing the	ating certain hunt e offense could be	ing or fishing e forfeited, ar	laws you coul nd you may be	d lose your hui required to pa	nting and y a manda	fishing lice atory reimb	nse up to a year, your oursement fee to the	
i, jve othe	7. In all cases, if you r serious consequend I the Judge and talk to	es: If you are on	tions, the Jud parole, proba	dge may incre ation, under a	ase your punis withheld sente	hment. In	some cas ail, or are n	es, a conviction may ot a U.S. citizen, you	
3		1 KI	NOW AND U	NDERSTAND	MY RIGHTS			•	
i r ا m not u	I read and understand English, and have carefully read this paper, or have had the information in this paper explained to me. I m not under the influence of drugs or alcohol, or any other disability which keeps me from understanding my rights. I know and understand my rights in court.								
				In/in	Just plan				
E te			Sign	nature	(
آب			5						
			I DO NOT	WANT A LA	WYER				
سا ال	o not want a lawyer i	this case. Lund				right to h	ave time to	talk to one. I know	
that if I can	not afford one a Put	lic Defender coul	d be appoint	ed for me with	out charge. I f	reely and	voluntarily	give up my right to a	
awyer.	mo, andra dire, a rac		uppoint					- , , ,	
<u> </u>									
			-						
) Date			Sign	ature					
~	*		I WANT T	O PLEAD GU	JILTY				
I am pleading guilty. I understand that the Judge will not accept a guilty plea from anyone who claims to be innocent. I									
inderstand	the charge or charge	nacionalia ma anzioni	d the nossible	e nunishment	The essential	facts in th	ne charge a	against me are true.	
1 oromice	es, force or threats we	ere made to cause	e me to plead	d guilty. I freel	v and voluntari	lv admit n	ny quilt.		
, promise	o, ioioo oi anouto we		to ploat	- 5,	,	,) J= ·····		
late			Sign	afure					
			1 1						

Case 2:19-cv-00012-JRS-DLP DUARRISON SUBERFORCEOURT/19 Page 22 of 117 PageID #: YOUR RIGHTS IN COURT

INSTRUCTIONS

READ THIS PAPER. If you do not understand your rights after reading this paper, or if you have trouble reading, tell the Judge.

SIGN YOUR NAME. On the back of this paper there are three (3) signature lines. If you understand your legal rights, sign your name on the first line. If you do not want a lawyer, sign the second line. If you want to plead guilty, sign the third line. GIVE THIS PAPER BACK TO THE COURT REPORTER WHEN YOUR NAME IS CALLED.

- 1. You have the right to have a lawyer with you at all times in Court, and the right to a short delay so that you may hire a lawyer. This must be done within twenty(20) days; ten (10) for misdemeanors, because there are deadlines for filing motions and raising issues and defenses, which will be lost if the deadline is missed. If you want a lawyer and cannot afford one, tell the Judge and a Public Defender will be appointed to you without cost.
- 2. You have the right to your own lawyer. The State will have a lawyer, however, and you may be at a disadvantage if you do not have one. If you do represent yourself, you will be held to the same rules of evidence and procedure as a lawyer.
- 3. Your have the right to know the nature of the charge(s) against you and to have a copy of any charge(s). (In most trafficult cases the ticket is the written charge.) You have the right to be released on reasonable bail before trial. If you do not appear in Court when you are supposed to, you will lose your bail deposit and a warrant will be issued for your arrest.
- 4. You have the right to a speedy and public trial before a judge or a jury. If you want a jury trial, you should tell the Judge when he sets your trial date. You will lose your right to a jury trial unless you demand it, in writing, not later than ten (10) days before your scheduled trial date, if your charge is a misdemeanor.
 - 5. You have the right to appeal a conviction to the Indiana Court of Appeals, and/or to the Supreme Court of Indiana.
- 6. The Court will enter a preliminary plea of "not guilty" for you at your initial hearing. After ten (10) days for misdemeanors or twenty (20) days for felonies, that plea becomes a formal "not guilty" plea, unless you enter a different plea.

A PLEA OF NOT GUILTY

- 7. When you plead "not guilty", the State must prove the charge against you at a trial. In a criminal case you are presumed nnocent, and the State must prove your guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. You cannot be forced to testify against yourself. In an infraction or ordinance violation case, however, you can be called as a witness, and the State can prove the charge against you simply by showing more convincing evidence.
- 8. The Judge will set your trial on as convenient a date as possible. If you have a lawyer, the trial date will be set when the awyer is present.
- 9. You have the right to require witnesses to come to the trial (or to any hearing) and testify. You have the right to see hear and question all of the witnesses against you.
- 10. At trial, you have the right to speak in your own defense and what you say will be heard and considered in the same vay as the testimony of any other witness. If you speak in your own defense, you may be questioned about your testimony.

A PLEA OF GUILTY

11. When you plead "guilty", you are admitting that the charges against you are true, and you give up many of your rights. 'ou give up your right to a public and speedy trial before a judge or jury. You give up your right to confront and question the vitnesses against you. You give up your right to use the Court's power, without expense, to obtain witnesses in your own defense. In criminal cases, you give up your right to force the State to prove your guilt beyond a reasonable doubt and your right to be silent. You give up your right to a short delay to talk to lawyer, or other rights.

PUNISHMENT

12. You will receive a copy of the charges against you (if you do not already have one) when you come before the Judge. In the charge does not say, ask the Judge to tell you the class of your offense. The type and amount of the punishment you may get ill depend on the class of the offense. The punishments for various classes of offenses are listed on the next page.

IN THE HARRISON SUPERIOR COURT STATE OF INDIANA

STATE OF INDIANA

CAUSE NO. 31D01-0801-CM-024

VS. CAUSE NO. 31D01-0712-CM-886

CAUSE NO. 31D01-0805-FA-389

LAWRENCE NUNLEY

MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL

The undersigned counsel respectfully requests this Court to grant this Motion to Withdraw as Counsel. In support of the Motion, undersigned counsel states the following:

1. A conflict has arisen between the attorney and the defendant and the attorney can no longer represent the defendant.

WHEREFORE, the undersigned attorney for the Defendant respectfully requests that this Court grant her Motion to Withdraw as Counsel, and for all other relief just and proper in the premises.

Respectfully Submitted,

Amie Newlon, #23968-31, PD

109 N. Elm St.

Corydon, IN 47112

(812) 738-5000

 Jun-16-2008 20:53 FROM: AMIE-NEWLÜN
 ชาะ / วะระหม่า
 เบ: / วะระหม่า
 ห.ว

 Case 2:19-cv-00012-JRS-DLP
 Document 15-1 Filed 04/17/19 Page 24 of 117 PageID #: <pageID>

Certificate of Service

The undersigned certifies that a copy of the foregoing was served this same day as filing upon the Prosecutor, via courthouse mailtray.

Amie Newlon

IN THE HARRISON SUPERIOR COURT STATE OF INDIANA

STA	TE	OF	IND	fA'	NA
		VJI.	1111		

CAUSE NO. 31D61-0801-CM-024

VS.

CAUSE NO. 31D01-0712-CM-886

CAUSE NO. 31D01-0805-FA-389

LAWRENCE NUNLEY

ORDER GRANTING WITHDRAWAL

It is hereby ordered that Amie Newlon is allowed to withdraw as counsel for the Defendant.

So ORDERED this 19th day of June, 2008.

Judge, Harrison Superior Court

Copies to:

Amie Newlon

Prosecutor

Initials of person making distribution:

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF HARRISON COUNTY

STATE OF INDIANA

STATE OF INDIANA

vs

CAUSE NO. 31D01-0805-FA-389

LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY

APPEARANCE FORM (Responding Party)

- 1. Name of Responding Party: LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY
- 2. Address of Pro Se parties: none
- 3. Attorney Information (applicable for service of process):

SUSAN SCHULTZ, SUPREME COURT #15667-14 127 E. Chestnut Street, Suite 1 Corydon, IN 47112 TEL: (812) 738-1900

- 4. Will responding party accept FAX service: NO
- 5. Additional information required by state or local rules: none

ZUSAN SCHULTZ

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Appearance was served upon Prosecutor's Office this <u>///</u> day of July, 2008, by depositing copy in the tray in the Clerk's Office.

SUSAN SCHULTZ

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF HARRISON COUNTY

STATE OF INDIANA

STATE OF INDIANA

vs

CAUSE NO. 31D01-0805-FA-389

LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY

NOTICE OF ACCEPTANCE OF APPOINTMENT

Now comes Susan Schultz and notifies the Court that she accepts the appointment as counsel for LAWRENCE NUNLEY in the above entitled cause.

SUSAN SCHULTZ

SUPREME COURT NO. 15667-14 127 E. CHESTNUT ST., SUITE 1 CORYDON, IN 47112 (812) 738-1900

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have served a copy of the foregoing Notice of Acceptance of Appointment upon Harrison County Prosecutor this ______ day of July, 2008, by placing copy in the tray in the Clerk's Office.

Susan Schulfz Susan Schultz

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF HARRISON COUNTY

STATE OF INDIANA

STATE OF INDIANA

vs

: 4

CAUSE NO. 31D01-0805-FA-389

LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY

MOTION FOR DISCOVERY

Comes now the Defendant, by counsel, and moves the Court for an Order directing the State of Indiana to make available to Defendant's attorney for examination, copying, or hearing, any and all of the following things, facts or information presently in possession of either you, or any of your deputies, employees, or agents, or that will come into your possession and/or your deputies, employees, or agents, until the final disposition of the case.

- 1. All oral and written statements and/or admissions allegedly made by Defendant whether signed or unsigned.
- 2. All tape recordings of statements or conversations of Defendant.
- 3. All written statements made by witnesses, whether signed or unsigned.
- 4. All tape recordings made of statements or conversations of witnesses.
- 5. All the results or reports of physical or mental examinations and of scientific tests or experiments made in connection with this case, including, but not limited to:
- A. All comparisons of fingerprints, clothing, hair, fiber and/or other material made in connection with this case.
- B. All tangible objects obtained during the investigation of this case, including:

- (1) Tangible objects obtained from Defendant's home, if any;
- (2) Tangible objects obtained from the scene of the purported crime;
- (3) Tangible objects obtained from any other location in the investigation of this case.
- 6. To list and furnish any books, papers, documents, photographs or tangible objects seized and/or taken into custody during the investigation and prosecution of this case.
- 7. To list and furnish any books, papers, documents, photographs or tangible objects the State intends to use as evidence or for impeachment at the trial of this case.
- 8. Photographs of latent fingerprints found at the scene of the crime; latent fingerprints discovered and lifted at the scene; and any written reports of comparisons made.
- 9. Any and all photographs taken of the Defendant, or any portion of his body, connected with the alleged offense.
- 10. Any and all photographs taken of the scene of the crime and/or of the victims of the crime, or otherwise relating to this case.
- 11. Photographs that have been exhibited to the witnesses for the purpose of establishing the identity of any perpetrator of the crime.
- 12. All notes or memoranda, handwritten or typed, by Police/Sheriff's Officers, probation officers, or other investigating officers of their conversations with persons pertaining to the investigation of this matter.
- 13. All notes or memoranda, handwritten or typed, by Police/Sheriff's Officers, probation officers, or other investigating officers of their conversations with persons

pertaining to the investigation of this defendant or this crime.

- 14. All transcripts made of tape recordings of statements made by the accused and by the State's witnesses.
- 15. Names and addresses of all witnesses to, or who have knowledge of, the subject matter of the crime or the events leading thereto.
- 16. Copies of all arrest and investigative reports written by Police/Sheriff's Officers, probation officers or other investigating officers investigating the crime involved in this cause.
- 17. The criminal record, if any, of the defendant, and record of arrests and/or convictions of co-defendants charged herein and witnesses furnished to the Prosecuting Attorney of this county by the Federal Bureau of Investigations, Indiana State Police or other law enforcement agency.
- 18. Service records of all agents of the State of Indiana or any law enforcement officer who is expected to testify in the trial of this matter as same relate to any prior arrests and/or associations, of whatever type, with either the defendant or any co-defendant.
- 19. Names and addresses of all persons the State expects to call as witnesses at the trial.
- 20. Names and addresses of all persons interviewed by the Prosecuting Attorney's Office, its investigators or agents, the Probation Department, its investigators or agents, or any other law enforcement agency known to the Prosecuting Attorney or Probation Department, or their representatives in relation to this case.
 - 21. Any and all "Sign-In Cards" obtained by the Defendant.
 - 22. "Waiver Forms" purportedly utilized by agents of the State

of Indiana which Defendant read and/or signed.

- 23. "Waiver Forms" purportedly utilized by agents of the State of Indiana which the Defendant refused to sign.
- 24. Forms utilized by agents of the State of Indiana which purportedly informed Defendant, LAWRENCE NUNLEY, of his constitutional rights.
- 25. All evidence possessed by or under the control of the Prosecuting Attorney's Office which would tend to establish the innocence of Defendant or which is exculpatory, and including detailed information concerning defective or illegal ascertainment of evidence either to be used against Defendant or employed to secure additional evidence against the Defendant.
- 26. Any defenses, factual or legal, of which the Prosecution is aware of which the Defendant may avail himself.
- 27. Itemization of any extrinsic acts evidence the State intends to use, or could use, under Evidence Rule 404(b) for purpose permitted under that rule.
- 28. Whether the State intends to argue that Defendant is culpable under a theory of aiding and abetting a criminal as well as being a principle in the commission of a crime.

It is expressly understood that as to the items requested herein, the State is under a continuing duty to seasonably supplement the responses hereto in the event that it obtains information that relates directly to the above and foregoing enumerated items.

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT 127 E. CHESTNUT ST., STE.1 CORYDON, INDIANA 47112 TEL: (812) 738-1900

_

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have served a copy of the foregoing Motion for Discovery upon Prosecutor this ______ day of ______, 2008, by depositing copy in the tray in the Clerk's Office.

SUSAN SCHULTZ

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF HARRISON COUNTY STATE OF INDIANA

STATE OF INDIANA

vs

CAUSE NO. 31D01-0805-FA-389

LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY

ORDER

This matter having come before the Court on Defendant's Motion for Discovery which motion is in the following words and figures, to-wit:

(H.I.)

and the Court having reviewed the motion and being duly advised in the premises now finds the motion should be and is hereby granted.

IT IS THEREFORE, CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED by the Court that the State of Indiana furnish the Counsel for Defense the requested information within 30 days from the date of this order.

SO ORDERED this 14 day of www., 2008.

ROGER D. DAVIS, JUDGE

DISTRIBUTION:

SUSAN SCHULTZ

PROSECUTOR

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF HARRISON STATE OF INDIANA

STATE OF INDIANA

VS

CAUSE NO: 31D01-0805-FA-389

LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY STATE'S MOTION FOR DISCOVERY

The State of Indiana, by its (Deputy) Prosecuting Attorney, hereby requests counsel

Defendant in the above-entitled cause disclose the following:

1. Any and all defenses which counsel intends to make at any hearing or trial

- 2. The names and last known addresses of persons he intends to call as witnesses, together with their relevant written or recorded statements,(this includes Video) including a summary of what each may testify to, and any record of prior criminal convictions known to defense counsel;
- 3. The names and last known addresses of persons not intended to be called by defense counsel in preparation of this case together with their relevant written recorded statements, including memoranda reporting or summarizing their oral statements, and any record of prior criminal convictions known to defense counsel;
- 4. All written reports, notes, memoranda, maps, drawings, or diagrams written, drawn, or otherwise prepared by defense counsel or his agents in connection with or pertaining to the preparation of Defendant's case.
- 5. True copies of all photographs, recordings (including Video) which the defense intends or may offer into evidence at the trial of this cause of action, and all other photographs relevant to the subject matter of this cause of action;

6. All tangible or demonstrative objects, books, papers, documents or things which defense counsel will or may use in the hearing or trial;

7. Any reports, or results, or testimony relevant thereto, of physical or mental examinations or scientific tests, experiments, or comparisons, or any other reports or statements or experts which defense counsel has in his possession or control or obtains possessions or control over before hearing or trial, excepting those portions of reports containing statements of the defendant if defense counsel does not intend to use of any of the material contained in such reports at a hearing or trial;

8. Any poll, survey, sampling, study, inquiry, analysis, canvass, questionnaires, research, evaluation, inventory, treatise or measurement relating to or purporting to indicate community standards, acceptance or tolerance of materials pertinent to this cause.

This disclosure and production is to be made without regard as to whether the evidence to be disclosed and produced is deemed admissible at the trial herein, and if the defense, after complying with the order to produce, finds either before or during trial additional information or facts which are subject to or covered by such order, defense counsel shall promptly notify the Court and the Prosecutor of the existence thereof.

(Deputy) Prosecuting Attorney

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a copy of the State's Motion For Discovery has been served upon the Susan Schultz by way of personal service by placing this document in her tray-in theoffice of the Harrison Superior Court Clerk, on this ____ day of August, 2003.

(Deputy) Prosecuting Attorney

Distribution by OPA:

Superior Court Clerk
Harrison County Prosecuting Attorney
Susan Schultz, Attorney for Defendant

STATE OF INDIANA) IN T

IN THE HARRISON SUPERIOR COURT 2008 ANNUAL TERM

COUNTY OF HARRISON)

STATE OF INDIANA

CAUSE NO. 31D01-0805-FA-389

VS

LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY

STATE'S COMPLIANCE WITH REQUEST FOR DISCOVERY

Comes now the State of Indiana, by its Deputy Prosecuting Attorney, with its compliance with discovery and response to Defendant's Motion to Produce Evidence with the following:

- 1. a. Copy of Case Report. (5 pages)
 - b. Copy of Charging Information. (1 page)
 - c. Copy of Finding of Probable Cause. (1 page)
 - d. Copy of Appearance by State of Indiana. (1 page)
 - e. Copy of thirteen (13) digital images. (4 pages)
 - f. Copy of Investigative Report Cover Sheet. (1 page)
 - g. Copy of CAPS Page. (1 page)
 - h. Copy of Affidavit for Search Warrant, Cause No. 31D01-0805-MC-46. (3 pages)
 - i. Copy of Search Warrant, Cause No. 31D01-0805-MC-46. (1 page)
 - j. Copy of Affidavit for Search Warrant, Cause No. 31D01-0805-MC-45. (4 pages)
 - k. Copy of Search Warrant, Cause No. 31D01-0805-MC-45. (1 page)
 - 1. Copy of Supplemental Case Report. (2 pages)
 - m. Copy of Supplemental Case Report. (1 page)
 - n. Copy of PR&R #710166. (1 page)
 - o. Copy of Supplemental Case Report. (1 page)

- p. Copy of Press Release. (1 page)
- q. Copy of Indiana Department of Child Services Preliminary Report. (10 pages)
- r. The State of Indiana is in possession of a CD with photographs on it. These photos are provided in paragraph 1, section e of this document. The CD may be viewed during normal business hours at the Office of the Prosecuting Attorney
- 2. None known to the State of Indiana at this time.
- 3. Provided in paragraph #1.
- 4. None known to the State of Indiana at this time.
- 5. Provided in paragraph #1.
- 6. Provided in paragraph #1.
- 7. Provided in paragraph #1.
- 8. None known to the State of Indiana at this time.
- 9. Provided in paragraph #1.
- 10. Provided in paragraph #1.
- 11. Provided in paragraph #1.
- 12. Information provided in paragraph #1
- 13. Provided in paragraph #1.

14. None known to the State of Indiana at this time.

15. Witnesses:

- a. Detective Inspector William J. Wibbels, Jr.
 Indiana State Police
 8014 Hwy 311 North
 Sellersburg, Indiana 47172
 (812) 246-5424
- b. A.Y.
- c. Tonya Caves 9791 Garrison Hollow Road Salem, Indiana 47167 (812) 620-8370
- d. Kearsten Sanders
- e. Theresa Kerberg
 Department of Child Services- CPS
 2026 Highway 337 NW
 Corydon, Indiana 47112
 (812) 738-8166
- f. Donna Lloyd Black The Comfort House
- g. Michelle Cayton 11365 Highway 135 Palmyra, Indiana 47164 (812) 736-1752
- h. Marty Cecil
 Department of Child Services- CPS
 2026 Highway 337 NW
 Corydon, Indiana 47112
 (812) 738-8166
- 16. Copy of Case Report listed in paragraph #1.
- 17. a. Copy of NCIC. (9 pages)

- 18. Objection. This request is overly broad and not in possession of the State of Indiana.
- 19. Provided in Paragraph #15.
- 20. Provided in paragraph #15.
- 21. None known to the State of Indiana at this time.
- 22. Provided in paragraph #1, if any.
- 23. Provided in paragraph #1, if any.
- 24. Provided in paragraph #1, if any.
- 25. Provided in paragraph #1, if any.
- 26. Provided in paragraph #1, if any.
- 27. Provided in paragraph #1.
- 28. No.

This disclosure and production are made without regards to whether the evidence disclosed and produced is deemed admissible at trial herein, and if the State, after continuing to comply with this order to produce, finds either before or during trial additional information or facts which are subject to or covered by such order, the State will promptly notify the court and Defense Attorney of its existence thereof. Any person or thing mentioned in this compliance August be called as witness and/or used as evidence. The State August use any and/or all witnesses or documents necessary to prove prior convictions.

Dated this _____day of August, 2008

Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

Yane Worth

1445 Gardner Lane

Corydon, Indiana 47112

(812) 738-4241

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that this Compliance with Discovery was served upon Susan Schultz, attorney for the defendant by way of personal service by placing said document(s) in the box of Susan Schultz, located in the Harrison County Superior Court Clerk's Office on this 7 day of August, 2008.

Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

Distribution:

Harrison County Superior Court Clerk Office of the Prosecuting Attorney Attorney for the Defendant, Susan Schultz

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF HARRISON STATE OF INDIANA

STATE OF INDIANA

VS

CAUSE NO:31D01-0805-FA-389

LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY

ORDER ON STATE'S MOTION FOR DISCOVERY

This matter having come before the Court on State's Motion For Discovery which motion is in the following words and figures, to-wit:

(H.I.)

And the Court having reviewed the motion and being duly advised in the premises now finds the motion should be and is hereby granted.

IT IS THEREFORE, CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED by the Court that the Defendant furnish the State of Indiana the requested information within 30 days from the date of this order.

The Court further finds that should Defendant object to providing any information ordered furnished, that he/she must do so in writing stating the reasons therefore.

SO ORDERED this

_ day of August, 2008.

ROGER D. DAVIS, JUDGE HARRISON SUPERIOR COURT

Distribution by Superior Court Clerk:

Harrison County Prosecuting Attorney Susan Schultz, Attorney for Defendant

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF HARRISON COUNTY ED

STATE OF INDIANA

2008 AUG 12 PM 2: 24

STATE OF INDIANA

vs

CLERK HARRISO COUNTY

CAUSE NO. 31D01-0805-FA-389

LAWRENCE NUNLEY

REQUEST TO DEPOSE WITNESSES AT COUNTY EXPENSE

Now comes Lawrence Nunley, by counsel Susan Schultz, and requests this Court for the entry of its order authorizing the depositions of witnesses at County expense. This request is based on the following facts:

- The Defendant has been arrested and charged with multiple counts of child molesting as an A Felony and other less serious offenses.
- 2. In order to prepare for trial, it is essential that counsel depose witnesses in this case, including the alleged victim, victim's mother, investigating officers, CPS workers, and other witnesses named by the State.
- 3. Counsel has been appointed to represent Defendant who is indigent and unable to pay the costs of these depositions.

WHEREFORE, Lawrence Numley requests this Court for the entry of its order authorizing counsel to depose the witnesses at County expense.

SUSAN SCHULTZ, #15667-14 COUNSEL FOR LAWRENCE NUNLEY 127 E. CHESTNUT ST., STE. 1

CORYDON, IN 47112 (812) 738-1900

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Request to Depose Witnesses at County Expense was served upon the Prosecutor this /2 day of August, 2008 by depositing copy in the tray in the Clerk's Office.

SUSAN SCHULTZ

Case 2:19-cv-00012-JRS-DLP	Document 15-1 Filed 04/17/19 Page 44 of 117 PageID # <pageid></pageid>
STATE OF INDIANA)	IN THE HARRISON SUPERIOR COURT
vs.)	CAUSE NO.: 31D01-0805-FA-389
LAWRENCE NUNLEY)	
ORDER FO	OR DEPOSITION AT PUBLIC EXPENSE
Comes now the Court ar	nd upon Motion by the Defendant, through counsel, Susan
Schultz, for an order regarding t	he taking of depositions in the above cause
of action and the Court being ot	herwise duly advised in the premises does now grant the
Defendant's Motion.	
IT IS THEREFORE O	RDERED AND ADJUDGED that the State of Indiana be
present at a location and date ce	rtain and the depositions shall be at the expense of the public.
SO ORDERED this 130	h day of August, 2008.
	HON ROGER D. DAVIS, JUDGE HARRISON SUPERIOR COURT
cc: Prosecutor's Office Counsel for Defendant	Schultz

Case 2:19-cv-00012-JRS-DLP Document 15-1 Filed 04/17/19 Page 45 of 117 PageID #: <pageID>

STATE OF INDIANA

IN THE HARRISON SUPERIOR COURT

STATE OF INDIANA

VS.

AARON CHERRY, JR.
AARON CHERRY, JR.
STEVEN DELUCA
WILLIAM DICKERSON
JAMES HAGGARD
JAMES HAGGARD
BEN HARRISON
BEN HARRISON
LAWRENCE NUNLEY
TIMOTHY PATTON
CHRISTOPHER ROSEBROCK

CAUSE NO. 31D01-0709-FA-673 31D01-0709-FD-614 31D01-0701-FC-023 31D01-0804-FB-231 31D01-0712-FD-835 31D01-0802-FB-109 31D01-0804-FA-272 31D01-0804-FA-273 31D01-0805-FA-389 31D01-0806-FB-491 31D01-0807-FB-539

ORDER FOR CLERK TO CALL JURY

The Court finds that several cases are still scheduled for Jury Trial, and it appears the prospects of all cases being resolved are dim. The Court now orders the Clerk of this Court to call prospective jurors for the Jury Trial setting to begin on October 14, 2008 at 9:00 a.m. The Court Reporter shall advise the Clerk of the numbers and names of jurors to be called. The Court further orders the Clerk to call the jurors for this Jury Trial setting as soon as possible without any delay.

So ORDERED this ______ day of September, 2008.

HON HOGER D. DAYS JUDGE

cc:
Prosecuting Attorney
Susan Schultz
Amie Newlon
Anne Walsh

40

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF HARRISON

STATE OF INDIANA

STATE OF INDIANA

VS

CAUSE NO. 31D01-0805-FA-389

LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY

STATE'S SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLIANCE WITH DISCOVERY

Comes now the State of Indiana by (Deputy) Prosecuting Attorney, and supplies the following supplemental discovery:

- Copy of PR&R #813850. (1 page) 1.
- 2. Copy of PR&R #813851. (1 page)
- 3. Copy of PR&R #813852. (1 page)
- Copy of PR&R #813853. (1 page) 4.
- 5. Copy of PR&R #813855. (1 page)

The State of Indiana reserves the right to supplement this response with additional information as reserved.

Dated this 7th day of October, 2008.

eputy) Prosecuting Attorney

1/44/5 Gardner Lane, Suite 3101

buty) Prosecuting Attorney

Corydon, IN 47112 812-738-4241

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a copy of the State's Supplemental Compliance with Discovery has been served upon the attorney for the defendant by way of personal service, by placing said in the tray of Susan Schultz, located in the Harrison County Superior Court Clerk's Office, the same day as filing.

Distribution to:

Harrison Superior Court Clerk Office of the Prosecuting Attorney

Attorney for Defendant, Susan Schultz

STATE OF INDIANA) :S:	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR HARRISON COUNTY ANNUAL TERM 2008
COUNTY OF MARION)	CAUSE NUMBER 31D01-0805-FA-389
STATE OF INDIANA)	a
V)	2009
LAWRENCE E NUNLEY)	8-130
STATE'S NOTICE OF	INTENT TO I	NTRODUCE EXTRINSIC ACT EVIDENCE AT TRIAL

The State of Indiana, through it's Deputy Prosecuting Attorney Jennifer Woolen, hereby provides the following as Rule 404 (b) and Rule 609 notice.

- 1. The defendant is charged with Count 1: Child Molesting, a Class A Felony; Count 2: Child Molesting, a Class A Felony; Count 3: Child Molesting, a Class A Felony; Count 4: Child Molesting, a Class C Felony; and Count 5: Dissemination of Matter Harmful to Minors, a Class D Felony. The case is set for a jury trial on October 14, 2008.
- 2. Indiana Evidence Rule 404 provides that evidence of other crimes, wrongs, or acts may be admissible for purposes other than proving a defendant's character and propensity to act in conformity therewith. These purposes include, but are not limited to proof of motive, intent, preparation, plan, knowledge, identity, or absence of mistake or accident, provided that the prosecution provide reasonable notice in advance of trial of the general nature of any such evidence it intends to introduce at trial. Ind.Evid.R, 404(b).
- 3. The State would seek to introduce evidence of the molestation of K.S. reportedly committed by Lawrence "Ed" Nunley. The alleged offenses were committed by Lawrence "Ed" Nunley, when K.S. was 8 years old, and were disclosed during a Child Protective Service interview occurring on May 29, 2007. After being interviewed by Randall Weilbaker, K.S. disclosed that "Ed" showed her a Disney movie entitled "The Fox and the Hound" and also showed her movies of naked people. During "The Fox and the Hound" K.S. stated that "Ed" made her touch him. She also disclosed instances in which "Ed" licked her "coochie."
- 4. There are a number of similarities between the facts surrounding the above-captioned cause and the facts disclosed by K.S. Namely, the Defendant is alleged to have shown A.Y. a Disney movie, then a pornographic movie and then engaged in the molestation of A.Y, which consisted of touching A.Y.'s vagina with his mouth. K.S. also reported watching a Disney movie with "Ed", watched a pornographic movie with "Ed" and "Ed" also engaged in molesting K.S. by touching her vagina with his mouth. Both victims were around the age of 7 or 8. This evidence would be used to demonstrate intent, plan, knowledge, and/or identity under Indiana Rule of Evidence 404.
- 5. The State would seek to introduce evidence of the molestation of K.B. reportedly committed by Lawrence "Ed" Nunley. The alleged offenses were committed by Lawrence "Ed" Nunley on or about the years of 2004-2005, which were disclosed during a Child Protective Service interview occuring June 22, 2005. K.B. was 7 years old at the time of the interview. After being interviewed by Rebecca Snook, K.B. disclosed that "Ed," a friend of her parents, had touched her inappropriately. That "Ed" had touched her vagina both on the outside and underneath her clothes. CPS substantiated the allegations made by K.B.
 - 6. The evidence and facts surrounding the molestation of K.B. would be used to demonstrate intent, plan,

knowledge, identity, and/or mistake. Both K.B. and A.Y. were around the age of 7 when "Ed" molested them. Both victims allege "Ed" touched their vaginas.

Johnifer Woolen

Leputy Prosecuting Attorney

Harrison County Prosecutors Office

1445 Gardner Lane

Corydon, Indiana 47112

(812) 738-4241

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a copy of the foregoing has been served upon the attorney for the defendant by way of personal service, by placing said copy in a the tray designated for Susan Schultz and by facsimile on the same date of filing.

Jennifer Woolen

Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF HARRISON

STATE OF INDIANA

STATE OF INDIANA

VS

CAUSE NO. 31D01-0805-FA-389

LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY

STATE'S SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLIANCE WITH DISCOVERY

Comes now the State of Indiana by (Deputy) Prosecuting Attorney, and supplies the following supplemental discovery:

1. Copy of Indiana State Police Supplemental Case Report completed June 5, 2008. (4 pages)

The State of Indiana reserves the right to supplement this response with additional information as reserved.

Dated this $D^{\dagger n}$ day of October, 2008.

(Peputy) Prosecuting Attorney

1445 Gardner Lane, Suite 3101

ty) Prosecuting Attorney

Corydon, IN 47112 812-738-4241

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a copy of the State's Supplemental Compliance with Discovery has been served upon the attorney for the defendant by way of personal service, by placing said in the tray of Susan Schultz, located in the Harrison County Superior Court Clerk's Office, the same day as filing.

Distribution to:

Harrison Superior Court Clerk Office of the Prosecuting Attorney Attorney for Defendant, Susan Schultz

i

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF HARRISON

STATE OF INDIANA

STATE OF INDIANA

v.

CAUSE NO. 31D01-0805-FA-389

LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY

MOTION TO SET HEARING ON STATE'S 404 (b) MOTION

Comes now the State of Indiana by its (Deputy) Prosecuting Attorney and moves the Court to set this matter for a hearing on State's 404 (b) Motion at least one week prior to the jury trial scheduled for November 18, 2008, due to the anticipated number of witnesses to be called.

WHEREFORE, the State of Indiana by its Prosecuting Attorney moves that this cause be set for a hearing on State's 404 (b) Motion.

(Deputy) Prosecuting Attorney

1\psi45 Gardner Lane, Suite 3101 Corydon, IN 47112

(812) 738-4241

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a copy of the State's Motion to Set Hearing on State's 404 (b) Motion has been served upon the Defendant by way of personal service by placing said in the box of Susan E. Schultz, Attorney for the Defendant, in the Harrison Superior Court Clerk's Office, the same day as filing.

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF HARRISON STATE OF INDIANA

STATE OF INDIANA

v.

CAUSE NO. 31D01-0805-FA-389

LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY

ORDER SETTING HEARING ON STATE'S 404 (b) MOTION

This matter having come before the Court on the State's Motion to Set Hearing on State's 404 (b) Motion, which motion is in the following words and figures, to-wit:

(H.I.)

And the Court having reviewed the motion and being duly advised in the premises now GRANTS the State's Motion.

IT IS, THEREFORE, CONSIDERED AND ORDERED that this cause	is now set for
hearing on State's 404 (b) Motion on the Lath day of	, 2008, at
$2:\omega f_{.m.}$	
so ordered this 2200 Oct., 2008.	

HÓN. ROGER D. DAVIS, JUDGE HARRISON SUPERIOR COURT

c: Prosecutor
Susan E. Schultz

STATE OF INDIANA

IN THE HARRISON SUPERIOR COURT

STATE OF INDIANA

VS.

MELISSA BELVIY
TRAVIS GREEN
TRAVIS GREEN
ROBERT-MOWRY
LAWRENCE NUNLEY
HOWARD SENN
CY SHERRILL

CAUSE NO.

31D01-0803-FB-206 31D01-0808-FC-620 31D01-0808-FB-624 31D01-0804-FC-302 31D01-0805-FA-389 31D01-0809-FB-736 31D01-0808-FB-664

ORDER FOR CLERK TO CALL JURY

The Court finds that several cases are still scheduled for Jury Trial, and it appears the prospects of all cases being resolved are dim. The Court now orders the Clerk of this Court to call prospective jurors for the Jury Trial setting to begin on November 18, 2008 at 9:00 a.m. The Court Reporter shall advise the Clerk of the numbers and names of jurors to be called. The Court further orders the Clerk to call the jurors for this Jury Trial setting as soon as possible without any delay.

So ORDERED this

_day of October, 2008.

HOW ROBER D DAVIS, JUDGE HARRISON SUPERIOR COURT

CC:

Prosecuting Attorney Susan Schultz Amie Newlon Anne Walsh

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF HARRISON COUNTY STATE OF INDIANA

IN THE S	UPERIOR COURT OF HARRISON COUNTY	300 11
	STATE OF INDIANA	alenda SA
STATE OF INDIANA)	STATE OF C. 17
v	CAUSE NUMBER 31D01-08	05-FA-389
LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY	,)	

STATE'S NOTICE OF INTENT TO INTRODUCE A.Y.'s VIDEOTAPED INTERVIEW INTO EVIDENCE PURSUANT TO INDIANA CODE 35-37-4-6 AND MOTION FOR HEARING

The State of Indiana, through Deputy Prosecuting Attorney Julie F. Flanigan, hereby provides notice of intent to introduce A.Y.'s April 18, 2008 videotaped interview conducted at the Comfort House in Corydon, Indiana, into evidence pursuant to Indiana Code 35-37-4-6(d)(2)(A). As required by Indiana Code 35-37-4-6(f)(1), this notice of intent is given to the defendant through his attorney and to his attorney at least ten (10) days prior to trial which is set for November 18. 2008. As required by Indiana Code 35-37-4-6(f)(2), the State has informed both the defendant through his attorney and his attorney of the content of A.Y.'s April 18, 2008 videotaped interview conducted at the Comfort House in Corydon, Indiana, by providing to defendant's attorney a complete copy of the videotaped interview prior to the filing of this notice of intent. In addition, the State notifies the defendant through his attorney and his attorney that the content of the videotape is A.Y.'s allegations of actions by defendant which support the five Informations filed against defendant.

Indiana Code 35-37-4-6 applies in this criminal action because (1) the defendant is charged with sex crimes and (2) A.Y. is a protected person because she is under fourteen (14) years of age.

Indiana Code 35-37-4-6(d) states that A.Y.'s videotaped interview is admissible in this sex crime criminal action if, after notice to the defendant of a hearing and of his right to be present, the following conditions are met: (1) the Court finds in a hearing conducted outside the presence of the jury and attended by A.Y. that the time, content and circumstances of the videotape provide sufficient indications of reliability and (2) A.Y. testifies at trial. Thus, the prosecution respectfully requests that the Court set this matter for a reliability hearing on November 14, 2008, at 2p.m. and notify the defendant of his right to be present. The prosecution intends to make A.Y. available for the reliability hearing and expects her to testify at trial.

Julie F. Flanigan

Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

Harrison County Prosecutors Office

1445 Gardner Lane

Corydon, Indiana 47112

(812) 738-4241

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a copy of the foregoing has been served upon the attorney for the defendant by way of personal service, by placing said copy in a the tray designated for Susan Schultz and by facsimile on the same date of filing.

Julie F. Flanigan

STATE OF INDIANA IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF HARRISON COUNTY

	(vmbence e nimex)
CYNZE NNWBEK 31D01-0802-EY-389	(
Those and the second	(
103/03 103/03	STATE OF INDIANA)

WOLION FOR HEARING EVIDENCE PURSUANT TO INDIANA CODE 35-37-4-6 AND STATE'S NOTICE OF INTENT TO INTRODUCE A.Y.'S STATEMENTS INTO

counsel are aware of the content of A.Y.'s April 14, 2007 oral and written statements to Tonya and defendant's counsel in discovery. In addition, the defendant through his counsel and defendant's 2007 statements to Trooper Bowling by previously providing Trooper Bowling's 5 page report to informed both the defendant through his attorney and his attorney of the content of A.Y.'s April 14, which is set for November 18, 2008. As required by Indiana Code 35-37-4-6(f)(2), the State has given to the defendant through his attorney and to his attorney at least ten (10) days prior to trial deposition of Tonya Caves. As required by Indiana Code 35-37-4-6(f)(1), this notice of intent is molestation of A.Y. the previous day, the content of which is set forth in the September 30, 2008 April 14, 2007 oral and written statements to Tonya and Richard Caves regarding the defendant's content of which is set forth in the 5 page police report authored by Trooper Bowling and (2) A.Y.'s Kevin Bowling regarding the defendant's molestation of A.Y. the previous day, the complete Indiana Code 35-37-4-6(d)(2)(A): (1) A.Y.'s April 14, 2007 statement to Indiana State Trooper provides notice of intent to introduce the following statements by A.Y. into evidence pursuant to The State of Indiana, through Deputy Prosecuting Attorney Julie F. Flanigan, hereby

Richard Caves because she conducted the deposition of Tonya Caves on September 30, 2008.

Indiana Code 35-37-4-6 applies in this criminal action because (1) the defendant is charged with sex crimes and (2) A.Y. is a protected person because she is under fourteen (14) years of age.

Indiana Code 35-37-4-6(d) states that A.Y.'s statements are admissible in this sex crime criminal action if, after notice to the defendant of a hearing and of his right to be present, the following conditions are met: (1) the Court finds in a hearing conducted outside the presence of the jury and attended by A.Y. that the time, content and circumstances of the statements provide sufficient indications of reliability and (2) A.Y. testifies at trial. Thus, the prosecution respectfully requests that the Court set this matter for a reliability hearing on **November 14**, **2008**, **at 2p.m**. and notify the defendant of his right to be present. The prosecution intends to make A.Y. available for the reliability hearing and expects her to testify at trial.

(ulie F. Flanigan

Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

Harrison County Prosecutors Office

1445 Gardner Lane

Corydon, Indiana 47112

(812) 738-4241

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a copy of the foregoing has been served upon the attorney for the defendant by way of personal service, by placing said copy in a the tray designated for Susan Schultz and by facsimile on the same date of filing.

STATE OF INDIANA) :SS:	IN THE HARRISON SUPERIOR COURT 2008 ANNUAL TERM
COUNTY OF HARRISON)	CLESS TO
STATE OF INDIANA	CAUSE NO. 31D01-0805-FA-389
VS	RRING OF A
LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY	NCGUN STATE
	17 12

SUPPLEMENT TO DISCOVERY

Comes now the State of Indiana, by its (Deputy) Prosecuting Attorney and supplements its compliance with Discovery and Response to Defendant's Motion to Produce Evidence with the following potential list of witnesses and/or demonstratives:

- 1. A copy of a handwritten letter to "Nicole" and signed "Kim". This document consists of four (4) pages.
- 2. A copy of a Contact Log Report generated by Rebecca Snook. This document consists of four (4) pages.
- 3. A copy of a preliminary report of alleged child abuse or neglect. This document was generated by the Indiana Department of Child Services's case manager Judith Ann Nelson. This document consists of two (2) pages.
- 4. A copy of the investigation of alleged child abuse or neglect. This document was generated by the Indiana Department of Child Services case investigator Rebecca Snook. This document consists of two (2) pages.
- 5. A copy of Indiana Supplemental Case Report. This document consists of one (1) page and was submitted by Detective Wibbels.

This supplemental disclosure and production are made without regards to whether the evidence disclosed and produced is deemed admissible at trial herein, and if the State, after continuing to comply with this order to produce, finds either before or during trial additional information or facts which are subject to or covered by such order, the State will promptly notify the court and Defense Attorney or its existence thereof. Any person named in this supplement may be called as witness. Anything mentioned in this supplement may be used at trial. The State may use any and/or all witnesses or documents necessary to prove prior conviction.

Dated this 6th day of November, 2008

(Deputy) Prosecuting Attorney

1445 Gardner Lane Corydon, Indiana 47112 (812) 738-4241

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that the above-described documents were hand delivered to Susan Schultz the same day as filing.

Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF HARRISON COUNTY STATE OF INDIANA

STATE OF INDIANA)	
V)	CAUSE NUMBER 31D01-0805-FA-389
)	
LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY)	

ORDER SETTING HEARING ON STATE'S INTENT TO INTRODUCE EVIDENCE PURSUANT TO INDIANA CODE 35-37-4-6(d)

Comes now the Court and sets a hearing on the State's intent to introduce 1) A.Y.'s April 14, 2007 statement to Indiana State Trooper Kevin Bowling regarding the defendant's molestation of A.Y. the previous day and (2) A.Y.'s April 14, 2007 oral and written statements to Tonya and Richard Caves regarding the defendant's molestation of A.Y. the previous day, into evidence pursuant to Indiana Code 35-37-4-6(d)(2)(A) on November 14, 2008, at 2p.m.

The defendant is notified of his right to be present at the hearing.

HON. ROGER D. DAVIS, JUDGE

loge Davis

11-6-08

Case 2:19-cv-00012-JRS-DLP Document 15-1 Filed 04/17/19 Page 61 of 117 PageID #:

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF HARRISON COUNTY STATE OF INDIANA

		pagois	200 1
IN TH	E SUPERI	OR COURT OF HARRISON COUNTY	Vie Now 13 CD
		STATE OF INDIANA	AH 0.17
STATE OF INDIANA)		"Clapura"
v.)	CAUSE No. 31D01-0805-F	A-389
LAWRENCE E. NUNL	EY)		

STATE'S AMENDED NOTICE OF INTENT TO INTRODUCE EXTRINSIC ACT **EVIDENCE AT TRIAL**

The State of Indiana, through Deputy Prosecuting Attorney Julie F. Flanigan, hereby provides the following as Rule 404 (b) and Rule 609 notice.

- 1. The defendant Lawrence "Ed" Nunley is charged with Count 1: Child Molesting, a Class A Felony; Count 2: Child Molesting, a Class A Felony; Count 3: Child Molesting, a Class A Felony; Count 4: Child Molesting, a Class C Felony; and Count 5: Dissemination of Matter Harmful to Minors, a Class D Felony. The case is set for a jury trial on November 18, 2008.
- 2. Indiana Evidence Rule 404 provides that evidence of other crimes, wrongs, or acts may be admissible for purposes other than proving a defendant's character and propensity to act in conformity therewith. These purposes include, but are not limited to, proof of motive, intent, preparation, plan, knowledge, identity, or absence of mistake or accident, provided that the prosecution provide reasonable notice in advance of trial of the general nature of any such evidence it intends to introduce at trial. Ind.Evid.R. 404(b).
- 3. The State would seek to introduce evidence of the molestation of K.S. reportedly committed by Lawrence "Ed" Nunley. K.S. is currently 10 years of age. K.S. was interviewed by Randall Weilbaker of Floyd County Child Protective Service on May 29, 2007, and she disclosed several incidents of sexual abuse by Lawrence "Ed" Nunley that took place when she was eight years old. On May 3, 2007, she wrote a letter to her counselor. She stated that she was watching the Fox and the Hound, and Ed Nunley made her touch his penis and touched her "couchie" (K.S.'s word for her vagina). She also stated that, after that incident, he continued, on more occasions, to rub her "couchie" and make her rub his penis. She described that he showed her sex movies. In addition, she stated that he showed her a sex movie and licked her "couchie" and made her lick his penis. K.S. gave a deposition to Nunley's counsel on November 6, 2008, during which she described all of the sex acts that Nunley performed on her, including: licking her vagina, making her lick his penis, touching and penetrating her vagina with his finger, and making her touch his penis. She testified that most of the abuse took place in Nunley's bedroom or in a small room in her mom's basement. She also testified that more than half the time he showed her sex movies while sexually abusing her.

- 4. The State seeks to introduce evidence of Nunley's molestation of his daughter Kristin Nunley. On May 30, 2008, Detective William Wibbles of the Indiana State Police interviewed Kristin Nunley (d/o/b 11/21/1988). Kristin Nunley told Detective Wibbles that, when she was approximately between four and six years old, her father Ed Nunley fondled her vagina and performed oral sex on her approximately ten times and that the oral sex included penetration of her vagina by her father's tongue.
- 5. The State would seek to introduce evidence of the molestation of K.B. reportedly committed by Lawrence "Ed" Nunley. The alleged offenses were committed by Lawrence "Ed" Nunley on or about the years of 2004-2005, which were disclosed during a Child Protective Service interview occurring on June 22, 2005. K.B. was 7 years old at the time of the interview. After being interviewed by Rebecca Snook, K.B. disclosed that "Ed," a friend of her parents, had touched her inappropriately. That "Ed" had touched her vagina both on the outside and underneath her clothes. CPS substantiated the allegations made by K.B.
- 6. All of the victims are young females between the ages of 4 and 8. Also, in K.S.'s and Kristin Nunley's case Ed Nunley performed oral sex on the victims as he did the instant case. Also, in the instant case, Nunley is alleged to have shown A.Y. a Disney movie, a pornographic movie and then engaged in the molestation of A.Y, which consisted of touching A.Y.'s vagina with his mouth, making A.Y. touch his penis with her mouth, penetrating her vagina with his hand, and touching A.Y.'s vagina with his penis. This took place in Ed Nunley's bedroom. K.S. also reported watching a Disney movie with Nunley during which he molested her, and watching pornographic movies with "Ed" while Nunley molested her, including touching her vagina with his mouth. This evidence would be used to demonstrate intent, plan, knowledge, and/or identity under Indiana Rule of Evidence 404, more specifically to show Nunley's modus operandi with respect to how he molests young girls.

Julie F. Flanigan

Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

Harrison County Prosecutors Office

1445 Gardner Lane

Corydon, Indiana 47112

(812) 738-4241

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a copy of the foregoing has been served upon the attorney for the defendant by way of personal service, by placing said copy in a the tray designated for Susan Schultz and by facsimile on the same date of filing.

Julie F. Flanigan

Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

STATE OF INDIANA) IN THE	E HARRISON SUPERIO	R COURT		
	:SS: 2 0	0 8 ANNUAL TERM			
COUNTY OF HARRISON)		l.	~	
			2 5	2008	
STATE OF INDIANA			ing b	NON	4132
	CAU	JSE NO. 31D01-0805-FA-	389	~	
VS			5 ?	F	
				300	n
LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY			3	<u> </u>	1
			THIT?	•••	
	CHINDLE MARNIT	TO DISCOVERY	۲ ک	30	
	SUPPLEMENT	TO DISCOVERY	1		

Comes now the State of Indiana, by its Deputy Prosecuting Attorney, and supplements its compliance with Discovery and Response to Defendant's Motion to Produce Evidence with the following potential list of witnesses and/or demonstratives:

- 1. A copy of an Indiana State Police Supplemental Case Report. This document was submitted by Detective William Wibbels and is an interview with Kyle Nunley. This document was completed by the Officer November 12, 2008 and consists of three pages. The State may call Kyle Nunley as witness.
- 2. A copy of a case report submitted by Chris Walden, Officer with the Harrison County Sheriff's Department. This report consists of eight (8) pages. The report includes thirteen (13) digital images.
- 3. A copy of an Indiana State Police Supplemental Case Report submitted by Detective Wibbels. This document consists of one (1) page.
- 4. A copy of an Indiana State Police Supplemental Case Report. This document was submitted by Detective Wibbels and consists of three (3) pages.

This supplemental disclosure and production are made without regards to whether the evidence disclosed and produced is deemed admissible at trial herein, and if the State, after continuing to comply with this order to produce, finds either before or during trial additional information or facts which are subject to or covered by such order, the State will promptly notify the court and Defense Attorney of its existence thereof. Any person named in this supplement may be called as witness. Any thing mentioned in this supplement may be used at trial. The State may use any and/or all witnesses or documents necessary to prove prior convictions.

Dated this : (4 day of MOV 2008

Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

1445 Gardner Lane Corydon, Indiana 47112

(812) 738-4241

<i>39</i>	Case 2:19-cv-00012-JRS-DLP Document 15-1 Filed 04/17/19 Page 65 of 117 PageID #: <pre></pre>
	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
	This is to certify that the above-described demonstratives were hand delivered to Anne Walsh, Attorney for the Defendant the same day as filing.
ones	(Deputy) Prosecuting Attorney

nen iii	
1000 1000	
ws \$50	

177 ⁸ 1880	
***** \$88	

STATE OF INDIANA
)
BEFORE THE HARRISON COUNTY
) SS: SUPERIOR COURT

COUNTY OF HARRISON)

STATE OF INDIANA

VS CAUSE NO. 31D01-0805-FA-389

LAWRENCE NUNLEY

STATE'S SUPPLEMENT WITH DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AND PRODUCTION OF EVIDENCE

Comes now the State of Indiana, by Julie Fessel Flanigan, Deputy Prosecuting Attorney and supplements its Compliance with Discovery and Response to Defendant's Motion For Discovery and Production of Evidence with the following list of witnesses the state intends to call at trial:

- 1. Annie Young
- 2. Richard Caves
- 3. Tonya Caves
- 4. Kevin Bowling
- 5. William Wibbels
- 6. Donna Lloyd-Black
- 7. Chris Walden
- 8. Kiersten Sanders
- 9. Kristen Nunley
- 10. Kim Simler

This disclosure and production is made without regard as to whether the evidence to be disclosed and produced is deemed admissible at trial herein, and if the State of Indiana, after complying with the order to produce, finds either before or during trial additional information or facts which are or may be subject to or covered by such order, the State of Indiana shall properly notify the Court and the Attorney for the Defendant of its existence thereof. Certain names listed are needed for chain of custody and/or laboratory analysis. Any person named in this supplement to discovery or any previously provided compliance with discovery or supplement to discovery may

be called as witness. Any thing in this supplement or any previously provided compliance with discovery or supplement to discovery may be used at trial. The State may use any and/or all witnesses or documents necessary to prove prior convictions.

Dated this 17⁴⁷ day of November, 2008.

Julie Fessel Flanigan (

Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

Third Judicial Circuit

1445 Gardner Lane NW

Corydon, Indiana 47112

Telephone: (812) 738-4241

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have served a copy of the foregoing upon Susan Schultz, Attorney for the Defendant by handing same to her this 17th day of November, 2008.

Julie Fessel Flanigan

Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF HARRISON COUNTY STATE OF INDIANA

STATE OF INDIANA)	
v.)	CAUSE No. 31D01-0805-FA-389
LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY)	

STATE'S MOTION IN LIMINE

Comes now the State of Indiana, by Deputy Prosecuting Attorney Julie F. Flanigan, and respectfully requests that the Defendant, his counsel and any of his witnesses be instructed not to mention or in any way refer to:

- (1) Any alleged drug use or activities of Tonya or Richard Caves;
- (2) Any employment of Tonya Caves;
- (3) Any living arrangements of Tonya Caves; or
- (4) Any domestic altercations between Tonya and Richard Caves.

WHEREFORE, the State respectfully requests the Court instruct the pefencent, his counsel, or his witnesses, not to mention, refer to, interrogate concerning, or attempt to covey to the jury in any manner, either directly or indirectly, including during voir dire, any of the above-mentioned facts without first obtaining permission of the Court outside the presence and hearing of the jury, and further instruct the Defendant and counsel not to make any reference to the fact that this Motion has been filed and granted and to warn and caution each and every one of their witnesses to strictly follow these same instructions.

Julie F. Flanigan #25898-22

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a copy of the foregoing has been served upon the attorney for the defendant by way of personal service, by placing said copy in a the tray designated for Susan Schultz and by hand.

Julie F. Flanigan #25898-22

STATE OF INDIANA

IN THE HARRISON SUPERIOR COURT

STATE OF INDIANA

VS.

LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY

CAUSE NO. 31D01-0805-FA-389

JURY TRIAL ORDER FOR NOVEMBER 18, 2008

The State of Indiana appears by Julie Flanigan, and Lauren Wheatley, Deputy

Prosecuting Attorneys; the defendant appears in person, and by counsel, Susan Schultz. The parties advise the Court they are ready for trial.

Members of the regular venire of jurors appear and are duly sworn. The prospective jurors view the orientation video and are given an introduction to the case. The parties examine prospective jurors and inform the Court that they accept the jury.

The Court admonishes and releases the jury and directs them to return on November 19, 2008 at 9:00 A.M.

The hour of adjournment having arrived, the Court orders the trial of this cause recessed until November 19, 2008 at 9:00 A.M. Court adjourned.

So ORDERED this 18th day of November, 2008.

RØGER D. DAVIS, WUDGE

HARRISON SUPERIOR COURT

STATE OF INDIANA

IN THE HARRISON SUPERIOR COURT

STATE OF INDIANA

VS.

LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY

CAUSE NO. 31D01-0805-FA-389

JURY TRIAL ORDER FOR NOVEMBER 19, 2008

The State of Indiana appears by Julie Flanigan and Lauren Wheatley, Deputy

Prosecuting Attorneys; the defendant appears in person, and by counsel, Susan Schultz. The jury appears and is duly sworn, and the trial of this cause resumes.

The Court, outside the presence of the jury, gives to the parties, in writing, its

Preliminary Instructions, which the Court will read to the jury; parties tender no preliminary instructions and have no objections to the Court's Preliminary Instructions.

Outside the presence of the jury, the Court gives to the parties its ruling on State of Indiana's 404(b) Motion and Motion in Limine. The Court grants the State's Motion in Limine and denies the State's request to admit 404(b) evidence. The Court grants the State's request to admit certain hearsay evidence over the defendant's objections pursuant to I.C. 35-37-4-6.

The State of Indiana moves for separation of witnesses, which the Court grants said motion and directs the parties to admonish their witnesses accordingly.

The parties appear in open court and the Court reads said Preliminary Instructions, which are filed with the Clerk, and said instructions are ordered made a part of the record.

The Deputy Prosecuting Attorney makes opening statement; the defendant, by counsel, makes his opening statement to the jury. The State of Indiana introduces evidence in chief.

The hour of adjournment having arrived, the Court orders the trial of this cause recessed until November 20, 2008 at 9:00 A.M.

So ORDERED this 19th day of November, 2008.

ROGER D. DAVIS, JUDGE HARRISON SUPERIOR COURT

Case 2:19-cv-00012-JRS-DLP	Document 15-1 Filed 04/17/19 Page 73 of 117 PageID #: <pre></pre>
STATE OF INDIANA) IN THE HARRISON SUPERIOR COURS (S)
COUNTY OF HARRISON)
STATE OF INDIANA) My of
V.) CAUSE NO.: 31D01-0805-FA-389
LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY. Defendant))
STATE'S	TENDER OF FINAL INSTRUCTIONS
The State of Indiana that the same be read to the J	hereby tenders the following final instructions and moves ury.
	Lauren Wheatley, #22866-49 Deputy Prosecuting Attorney 1445 Gardner Lane, Suite-3101 Corydon, Indiana 47112
This is to certify that for the defendant by way of	Certificate of Service a copy of the foregoing has been served upon the attorney personal service on the same date of filing. Lauren Wheatley
(Walk	Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

Case 2:19-cv-00012-JRS-DLP	Document 15-1	Filed 04/17/19	Page 74 of 117 PageID #:
	<page [< td=""><th>)></th><td></td></page [<>)>	

INSTRUCTION	NO.
--------------------	-----

Evidence of the intentional touching of a victim's genital area justifies an inference that the perpetrator acted with an intent to arouse or gratify sexual desires.

Refused

Hammond v. State (1985) Ind. App, 479 N.E.2d 629

STATE OF INDIANA

IN THE HARRISON SUPERIOR COURT

STATE OF INDIANA

VS.

LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY

CAUSE NO. 31D01-0805-FA-389

JURY TRIAL ORDER FOR NOVEMBER 20, 2008

The State of Indiana appears by Julie Flanigan and Lauren Wheatley, Deputy

Prosecuting Attorneys; the defendant appears in person, and by counsel, Susan Schultz. The duly sworn jury appears and the trial of this cause resumes.

The State of Indiana, by its Deputy Prosecuting Attorney, continues its case in chief.

The hour of adjournment having arrived, the Court orders the trial of this cause recessed until November 21, 2008 at 9:00 A.M. Jury admonished and released; court adjourned.

So ORDERED this 20th day of November, 2008.

ROGER D. DAVIS, JUDGE HARRISON SUPERIOR COURT

We, the jury, find the Defendant, Lawrence E. Nunley, **guilty** of **Count 1**, the offense of **Child Molesting**, a Class A Felony.

Dovember 21, 2008

Stephanu knies Foreperson

We, the jury, find the Defendant, Lawrence E. Nunley, **guilty** of **Count 2**, the offense of **Child Molesting**, a Class A Felony.

November 21,2008

Date

Foreperson

We, the jury, find the Defendant, Lawrence Nunley, **guilty** of **Count 3**, the offense of **Child Molesting**, a Class A Felony.

November an 2008

Date

<u>/ouphores = en</u> Foreperson

We, the jury, find the Defendant, Lawrence E. Nunley, **guilty** of **Count 4**, the offense of **Child Molesting**, a Class C Felony.

Dovember 212008

Foreperson

We, the jury, find the Defendant, Lawrence E. Nunley, **guilty** of **Count 5**, the offense of **Dissemination of Matter Harmful to Minors**, a Class D Felony.

Movember 21,2008

Foreperson

barri terrei

We, the jury, find the Defen	ndant, Lawrence E. Nunley, not guilty
of Count 1, the offense of Child	Molesting, a Class A Felony.
Date	Foreperson

,	We, the jury, find the Defendant, Lawrence E. N	unley, not guilty o	F
Coun	at 2, the offense of Child Molesting, a Class A F	elony.	

Date	Foreperson

We, the jury, find the Defendant, Lawrence Nunley, not guilty of
Count 3, the offense of Child Molesting, a Class A Felony.

Date Foreperson

We, the jury, find the Defendant	, Lawrence E. Nunley, not guilty of
Count 4, the offense of Child Molest	ing, a Class C Felony.

Date	Foreperson

We, the jury, find the D	efendant, Lawrence E. Nunley, not guilty of
Count 5, the offense of Diss	emination of Matter Harmful to Minors,
a Class D Felony.	
Date	Foreperson

STATE OF INDIANA

IN THE HARRISON SUPERIOR COURT

STATE OF INDIANA

VS.

LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY

CAUSE NO. 31D01-0805-FA-389

JURY TRIAL ORDER FOR NOVEMBER 21, 2008

The State of Indiana appears by Julie Flanigan and Lauren Wheatley, Deputy

Prosecuting Attorneys; the defendant appears in person, and by counsel, Susan Schultz. The duly sworn jury appears and the trial of this cause resumes.

The State of Indiana, by its Deputy Prosecuting Attorney, continues its case in chief and rests.

During the State's case in chief, the defendant, by his attorney, moves for mistrial. The Court denies said motion.

The defendant, by his attorney, presents his case in chief and rests.

The State of Indiana, by its Deputy Prosecuting Attorney, presents its rebuttal evidence and rests. The defendant, by his attorney, informs the Court that they have no surrebuttal evidence.

The Court gives to the parties, in writing, its Final Instructions which will be read to the jury. The State tenders one Final Instruction and ask that it be read to the jury. The Court refuses State's requested instruction. The defendant, by his attorney, requests no final instruction. Neither the State nor the defendant have any objections to the Court's proposed Final Instructions.

The State appears by its Deputy Prosecuting Attorneys; the defendant appears in person and by his attorney; the duly sworn jury appears and the parties make Closing

Arguments to the jury. The Court reads its Final Instructions to the jury and said instructions are filed with the Clerk and ordered made a part of the record in this cause.

The Bailiff, Sharon Carpenter, is sworn and the jury retires to the jury room to deliberate upon its verdict.

The State of Indiana appears by its Deputy Prosecuting Attorneys; the defendant appears in person and by his attorneys; the duly sworn jury appears and returns in open Court the following verdicts:

Count 1, Child Molesting, a Class A Felony - Guilty;

Count 2, Child Molesting, a Class A Felony - Guilty;

Count 3, Child Molesting, a Class A Felony - Guilty;

Count 4, Child Molesting, a Class C Felony - Guilty; and

Count 5, Dissemination of Matter Harmful to Minors, a Class D Felony - Guilty.

The defendant, by his attorney, requests the Court to poll the jury. The Court polls each juror, who indicates that the verdicts are his or her own verdicts.

The Court accepts the verdicts and are filed and made a part of the record in this cause, and Judgement of Conviction on each count is entered against the defendant.

The Court orders the Probation Office to prepare and file Presentence Investigation Report and sets sentencing hearing for January 15, 2009 at 9:00 A.M.

Jury is discharged.

So ORDERED this 21st day of November, 2008.

ROGER D. DAVIS, JUDGE HARRISON SUPERIOR COURT

STATE OF INDIANA

HARRISON SUPERIOR COURT

STATE OF INDIANA

VS.

LAWRENCE NUNLEY

CAUSE NO. 31D01-0805-FA-389

SENTENCING ORDER

Comes now the State of Indiana by its Deputy Prosecuting Attorney, Julie Flanigan, and comes now the Defendant, in person, and by counsel, Susan Schultz.

The defendant, after having previously been found guilty by a jury on all counts, and the Court, after having considered the Presentence Investigation Report filed by the Probation Office, now sentences the defendant as follows:

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED by the Court that the Defendant, for the offenses by him committed, is hereby sentenced as follows:

Indiana Department of Correction

Count 1, 35 years; Count 2, 35 years; Count 3, 35 years;

Count 4, 4 years and 8 months; Count 5, 21 months.

Counts 1, 2, 4 and 5 are to be served consecutive;

Count 3 to be concurrent with Counts 1 and 2.

All time to be served (-0- suspended).

Defendant to receive credit for time served of 232 actual days.

FINE:

Defendant shall pay:

- (X) \$164.00 Court costs
- (X) \$250.00 Sexual Assault Victim's Assistance Fee.

OTHER PROVISIONS:

- (X) No bond, payment stayed for 90 days after release from incarceration.
- (X) Defendant to have no contact with the victim in this cause.
- (X) Defendant to undergo H.I.V. testing.
- (X) Defendant is ordered to register as sex offender for life.

- (X) Defendant is found to be a sexually violent predator per 35-38-1-7.5(b)(1)(c).
- (X) Defendant is ordered not to reside within 1,000 feet of school property.
- (X) Defendant is ordered not to reside within one (1) mile of the victim's residence.
- (X) The Court appoints Melissa Albertson as the victim's representative.

The defendant is advised of his right to appeal. The Court further finds that the defendant is indigent and appoints Matthew McGovern to represent him for appeal purposes.

SO ORDERED this 15th day of January, 2009.

HON ROGER, D. DAVIS, JUDGE HARRISON SUPERIOR COURT

cc: Harrison County Sheriff 🗻

STATE OF INDIANA IN THE HARRISON SUPERIOR COURT

STATE OF INDIANA

VS.

LAWRENCE NUNLEY

COMMITMENT TO THE

CAUSE NO.: 31D01-0805-FA-389

The State of Indiana appears by its Deputy Prosecuting Attorney, and the Defendant appears in person and by counsel. And the Court, having entered a Judgment of Conviction and pronounced sentence against the defendant for the following crimes:

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

Counts 1, 2 and 3, Child Molesting, Class A Felonies;

Count 4, Child Molesting, Class C Felony;

Count 5, Dissemination of Matter Harmful to Minors, Class D Felony;

now finds that the defendant be imprisoned as follows:

Count 1, for 35 years; Count 2, for 35 years; Count 3, for 35 years; Count 4, for 4 years and 8 months; and Count 5, for 21 months.

-0- time suspended, all to be served.

Counts 1, 2, 4 and 5 are to be served consecutive; and Count 3 to be served concurrent with Counts 1 and 2. (Credit for 232 actual days already served

OTHER PROVISIONS:

Defendant is remanded to the custody of the Harrison County Sheriff.

The Sheriff of Harrison County is hereby charged with the due execution of the

foregoing judgment.

MON. ROGER DAVIS, JUDGE

HARKÍSON SUPERIOR COURT

DATED, this 15th day of January, 2009.

cc: Harrison County Sheriff

STATE OF INDIANA

IN THE HARRISON SUPERIOR COURT

STATE OF INDIANA

VS.

LAWRENCE NUNLEY

CAUSE NO. 31D01-0805-FA-389

ORDER TO TRANSPORT

To the Sheriff of Harrison County, you are hereby ordered to transport the Defendant, in your custody from the Harrison County Jail to the Indiana Department of Correction pursuant to Commitment within five (5) days of receipt of this order.

SO ORDERED this 15th day of January, 2009.

HOW ROGER D DAVIS, JUDGE HARRISON SUPERIOR COURT

cc: Harrison County Sheriff

Case 2:19-cv-00012-JRS-DLP Document 15-1 Filed 04/17/19 Page 92 of 117 PageID #:

The Defendant was found Guilty of the following crimes under the above-referenced cause:

Part 1

ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT

State Form 8466

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION

INSTRUCTIONS: This form must accompany the Judgment, Pre-Sentence Report, and all other documents required by law, upon the commitment of the adult offender to the Indiana Department of Correction. A separate Abstract must be used for each Cause Number.

Case PARCEID> STATE OF INDIANA VS. LAWREN	ICE F NUMEY
Cause No.	Court
31D01-0805-FA-389	Harrison Superior
Date of sentencing 15-Jan-09	Presiding judge Roger D. Davis
Prosecutor Julie Flanigan, Deputy	Defense attorney Susan Schultz

COUNT											
COUNT		C	RIME			CLASS	√FEL.	√MISD.	STATU	TORY CITAT	IION
I.		CHILD M	IOLESTI	NG		Α	×		35	5-42-4-3	
11		CHILD M	IOLESTI	NG		А	Х		38	5-42-4-3	
111	CHILD MOLESTING					А	X		35	5-42-4-3	
IV		CHILD M	OLESȚII	NG		С	Х		35	5-42-4-3	
٧	DISSEMINA	ATION OF MAT	TER HAI	RMFUL .	TO MINORS	D	Х		- 35	5-49-3-3	
公本有益性 原	As a result of	f the above conviction	ns the Cou	rt has sente	enced the defendant to	the Dense	tment of	Correction	a follows:		
Par	rt 2 (If consecutiv	ve time is received, c	heck only th	hose counts	swhich are to follow th	ne original	sentence)	as follows:		
COUNT	SENTENCE YEARS / DAYS	SUSPENDED	CON. CUR.√	CON- SEC.√					SE NUMBERS)		
. 1	35 years	none		х	6		Co	unts 2, 4 an	d 5		
	35 years	none		x			Co	unts 1, 4 an	d 5		4.0.000
"	35 years	none	х				C	ounts 1 and	2	10.2	
	4 years & 8 months	none		- x	1 21.12		Со	unts 1, 2 an	d 5		A
V	21 months	none		×			Co	unts 1, 2 and	d 4		
Pan	t 3				JUDGE'S RECOMM	MENDATIO	NS				
CONTRACTOR OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	t 3 and and to be returned to the	e Court for probation a	it the comple	etion of his/h	THE COURSE WAS A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE P	Chief Pro		icer			
A STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	(chambinity.tas)	e Court for probation a		etion of his/h	er sentence?	Chief Pro		icer			
the defer	ndant to be returned to the	•		Yes (comple	er sentence? ete at right) 😾 No	Chief Pro		icer			
the defer	(chambinity.tas)	cing	Recommen	Yes (comple nded degree	er sentence? ete at right) No e of security	Chief Pro	bation Off		nmendation		
the defer	ndant to be returned to the	cing	Recommen	Yes (comple	er sentence? ete at right) No e of security	Chief Pro	bation Off	icer	nmendation		
the defer	ndant to be returned to the s confined prior to sentence 232 actual day	cing	Recommen	Yes (comple nded degree	er sentence? ete at right) No e of security	Chief Pro	bation Off		nmendation		
the defer	ndant to be returned to the s confined prior to sentence 232 actual day	cing	Recommer Maxi	Yes (complined degree imum	er sentence? ete at right) of security Medium Minimi	Chief Production	bation Off		nmendation		
the defer	ndant to be returned to the s confined prior to sentence 232 actual day	cing	Recommer Maxi	Yes (complined degree imum	er sentence? ete at right) No e of security	Chief Production	bation Off		nmendation		
the defer	ndant to be returned to the s confined prior to sentence 232 actual day	cing	Recommer Maxi	Yes (complined degree imum	er sentence? ete at right) of security Medium Minimi	Chief Production	bation Off		nmendation		
s the defer	ndant to be returned to the s confined prior to sentene 232 actual day comments and recommen	cing	Recommer Maxi	Yes (complined degree imum	er sentence? ete at right)	Chief Produm	bation Off		nmendation		
the defer	s confined prior to sentence 232 actual day comments and recommen	cing /s ndations:	Recommer Maxi	Yes (complined degree imum	er sentence? ete at right) of security Medium Minimi	Chief Produm	bation Off	☐ No recor			
the defer	ndant to be returned to the s confined prior to sentene 232 actual day comments and recommen	cing /s ndations:	Recommer Maxi	Yes (complined degree imum	er sentence? ete at right)	Chief Produm	bation Off	☐ No recor	nmendation ate signed	A	
the defer	s confined prior to sentence 232 actual day comments and recommen	cing /s ndations:	Recommer Maxi	Yes (complined degree imum	er sentence? ete at right)	Chief Produm	bation Off	☐ No recor		S To	
the defer	s confined prior to sentence 232 actual day comments and recomments f committing turns	cing /s ndations:	Recommer Maxi	Yes (complined degree imum	er sentence? ete at right)	Chief Proi	bation Off	☐ No recor			1/15/2009
o, of Days dditional c	s confined prior to sentence 232 actual day comments and recomments f committing turns	cing /s ndations:	Recommer Maxi	Yes (complined degree imum	er sentence? ete at right)	Chief Proi	bation Off	☐ No recor			
the defer o. of Days dditional c PART gnature of	s confined prior to sentence 232 actual day comments and recomments and recomments are comments.	cing /s ndations:	Recommer Maxi	Yes (complined degree imum See Judgmen	er sentence? ete at right)	Chief Proi	bation Off	☐ No recor			
the defer b. of Days dditional of PART gnature of	s confined prior to sentence 232 actual day comments and recomments from the comments are comments and recomments are comments and recomments are comments are comments.	cing /s ndations:	Recommer Maxi	Yes (complined degree imum	er sentence? ete at right)	Chief Proi	bation Off	☐ No recor			
the defer b. of Days dditional of PART gnature of	s confined prior to sentence 232 actual day comments and recomments and recomments are comments.	cing /s ndations:	Recommer Maxi	Yes (complined degree imum See Judgmen	er sentence? ete at right)	Chief Proi	bation Off	☐ No recor			
the defer o, of Days dditional of PART gnature of	s confined prior to sentence 232 actual day comments and recomments for committing turns 5	cing /s ndations:	Recommer Maxi	Yes (complement of the complement of the complem	ete at right)	Chief Proi	bation Off	□ No recor	ate signed		
PART Part S C	s confined prior to sentence 232 actual day comments and recomments for committing turns 5	cing /s indations:	Recommer Maxi	Yes (complement of the complement of the complem	er sentence? ete at right)	Chief Produm mmitment NATURE CLERK	bation Off	□ No recor		ndiana,	1/15/200
PART Part I, d	accommendate to be returned to the sconfined prior to sentence 232 actual day comments and recommendate from the scommendate of	cing /s indations:	Recommer Maxi	Yes (complement of the complement of the complem	er sentence? ete at right)	Chief Produm mmitment NATURE CLERK	bation Off	□ No recor	ate signed	ndiana,	
PART Part I, d d a	s confined prior to sentence 232 actual day comments and recomments from the comments and recomments are comments and recomments are comments and recomments are comments and recomments are comments are comments.	cing /s indations:	Recommer Maxi	Yes (complined to the complete specific complete complete specific	er sentence? ete at right)	Chief Produm mmitment NATURE CLERK	bation Off	□ No recor	ate signed	ndiana,	1/15/200
PART Part I, d d a	accommendate to be returned to the sconfined prior to sentence 232 actual day comments and recommendate from the scommendate of	cing /s indations:	Recommer Maxi	Yes (complined to the complete specific complete complete specific	er sentence? ete at right)	Chief Produm nmitment NATURE CLERK	n the	No recor	ate signed	ndiana,	1/15/200
PART Part I, d d a	actual day comments and recomment 4: f committing use State of Indiana County of do hereby certify that the above-entitled cause, on the state of the state o	ne foregoing is a true on the date first show	Recommer Maxi	Yes (compliance of complete abstraction my of the seal	er sentence? ete at right)	Chief Produm mmitment NATURE CLERK	n the	No recor	ate signed ounty, State of In		1/15/200
PART S C I, d A O	actual day comments and recomment 4: f committing use State of Indiana County of do hereby certify that the above-entitled cause, on the state of the state o	ne foregoing is a true on the date first show	Recommer Maxi	Yes (compliance of complete abstraction my of the seal	er sentence? ete at right)	Chief Produm mmitment NATURE CLERK	n the	No recor	ate signed		1/15/2005

STATE OF INDIANA))SS:	IN THE HARRISON ST	UPERIOR COURT
COUNTY OF HARRISON)	CAUSE NO.: 31D01-08	305-FA-389
STATE OF INDIANA	j		
(Plaintiff))		FILED
V.)		FEB 16 2009
Lawrence E. NUNLEY (Defendant),))		Shere of Brown CLERK, HARRISON GOUNTY SUPERIOR COURT

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Defendant, Lawrence E. Nunley, by counsel, Matthew Jon McGovern, and pursuant to Ind. Appellate Rule 9(A), respectfully gives notice of an appeal from the following judgment and order entered by the Harrison Superior Court: Judgment of Conviction of November 21, 2008, and the Sentencing Order of January 15, 2009.

This appeal is from a final judgment.

This appeal will be taken to the Indiana Court of Appeals pursuant to Indiana Appellate Rules 4 & 5.

Pursuant to Indiana Appellate Rule 10, the clerk of the Harrison Superior Court is requested to assemble the Clerk's Record, as defined in Ind. Appellate Rule 2(E).

Pursuant to Indiana Appellate Rule 12(A), the clerk of the Harrison Superior Court is also requested to copy the full and assembled Clerk's Record and provide it to the undersigned.

Pursuant to Indiana Appellate Rule 11, the Court Reporter of the Harrison Superior Court is requested to transcribe, certify, and file with the Clerk of the Harrison Superior Court the following hearings of record:

- (1) The full hearing(s) on November 14, 2008;
- (2) The full trial, including all pretrial hearings (including any hearings held before, during, or after voir dire), opening and closing arguments, all instructions and arguments on or objections to instructions, all offers to prove, all arguments and rulings thereon, all bench conferences, and any and all video and audio tapes offered by the parties and/or played at trial; and

(3) The sentencing hearing.

Respectfully Submitted,

Matthew Jon MoGovern
Attorney for the Defendant

Atty. No.: 21016-49 P.O. Box 5583

Evansville, IN 47716 (812) 842-0286

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 16th day of February, 2009, the foregoing was served upon the following parties, persons, and/or entities by the means indicated:

Harrison County Prosecutor's Office Harrison County Justice Center 1445 Gardner Lane Corydon, IN 47712 by First Class Mail, postage prepaid;

The Indiana Attorney General Government Center South, 5th Floor 402 West Washington Street Indianapolis, IN 46204 by First Class Mail, postage prepaid Ms. Sharon Webb Harrison Superior Court Reporter 1445 Gardner Lane, Suite 3018 Corydon, Indiana 47112 by First Class Mail, postage prepaid

Clerk of the Indiana Court of Appeals State House, Room 217 200 West Washington Street Indianapolis, IN 46204 by First Class Mail, postage prepaid

Matthew Jon McGovern
Attorney for the Defendant

Atty. No.: 21016-49 P.O. Box 5583 Evansville, IN 47716 (812) 842-0286

Under the Constitution of Indiana the jury has the right to determine both the law and the facts. The Court's instructions are your best source in determining the law.

FINAL JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 2

In deciding this case, you must determine the facts from a consideration of all the evidence and look to these instructions from the court for the law of the case and find your verdict accordingly.

All of the law of this case has not been embodied in any one instruction. Therefore, in construing any single instruction you should consider it with all other instructions given.

FINAL JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 3

The crime of Child Molesting is defined by law as follows: A person at least twenty-one (21) years of age who, with a child under fourteen (14) years of age, performs or submits to deviate sexual conduct, commits Child Molesting, a Class A Felony, as charged in Count 1.

Before you may convict the defendant, the State must have proved each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

- 1. The defendant, Lawrence E. Nunley,
- 2. knowingly or intentionally
- 3. performed or submitted to deviate sexual conduct with A.Y., namely, touched the vagina of A.Y. with his mouth
- 4. when A.Y. was a child under fourteen (14) years of age, and
- 5. when Lawrence E. Nunley was at least twenty-one (21) years of age.

If the State failed to prove each of these elements beyond a reasonable doubt, you must find the Defendant not guilty of the crime of Child Molesting, a Class A Felony, as charged in Count 1.

The crime of Child Molesting is defined by law as follows: A person at least twenty-one (21) years of age who, with a child under fourteen (14) years of age, performs or submits to deviate sexual conduct, commits Child Molesting, a Class A Felony, as charged in Count 2.

Before you may convict the defendant, the State must have proved each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

- 1. The defendant, Lawrence E. Nunley,
- 2. knowingly or intentionally
- 3. performed or submitted to deviate sexual conduct with A.Y., namely, had
- A.Y. put her mouth on his penis
- 4. when A.Y. was a child under fourteen (14) years of age, and
- 5. when Lawrence E. Nunley was at least twenty-one (21) years of age.

If the State failed to prove each of these elements beyond a reasonable doubt, you must find the Defendant not guilty of the crime of Child Molesting, a Class A Felony, as charged in Count 2.

FINAL JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 5

The crime of Child Molesting is defined by law as follows: A person at least twenty-one (21) years of age who, with a child under fourteen (14) years of age, performs or submits to deviate sexual conduct, commits Child Molesting, a Class A Felony, as charged in Count 3.

Before you may convict the defendant, the State must have proved each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

- 1. The defendant, Lawrence E. Nunley,
- 2. knowingly or intentionally
- 3. performed or submitted to deviate sexual conduct with A.Y., namely, put his hand in the vagina of A.Y.
- 4. when A.Y. was a child under fourteen (14) years of age, and
- 5. when Lawrence E. Nunley was at least twenty-one (21) years of age.

If the State failed to prove each of these elements beyond a reasonable doubt, you must find the Defendant not guilty of the crime of Child Molesting, a Class A Felony, as charged in Count 3.

Child Molesting is defined by law as follows: A person who, with a child under fourteen (14) years of age, performs or submits to any fondling or touching, of either the child or the older person with intent to arouse or to satisfy the sexual desires of either the child or the older person, commits Child Molesting, a Class C Felony, as charged in Count 4.

Before you may convict the defendant, the State must have proved each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

- 1. The defendant, Lawrence E. Nunley,
- 2. knowingly or intentionally
- 3. performed or submitted to any fondling or touching of A.Y. or Lawrence E. Nunlev
- with the intent to arouse or satisfy the sexual desires of A.Y. or Lawrence E. Nunley
- 5. when A.Y. was a child under fourteen (14) years of age.

If the State failed to prove each of these elements beyond a reasonable doubt, you must find the Defendant not guilty of the crime of Child Molesting, a Class C Felony, as charged in Count 4.

FINAL JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 7

The crime of dissemination of matter harmful to minors is defined by law as follows:

A person who knowingly or intentionally displays matter that is harmful to minors in an area to which minors have visual, auditory, or physical access, unless each minor is accompanied by the minors' parent or guardian, commits dissemination of matter harmful to minors, a Class D Felony as charged in Count 5.

Before you may convict the Defendant, the State must have proved each of the following beyond a reasonable doubt:

- 1. The Defendant, Lawrence E. Nunley
- 2. knowingly or intentionally
- 3. displayed matter that is harmful to minors in an area to which A.Y. had visual, auditory, or physical access
- 4. when A.Y. was not accompanied by her parent or guardian.

If the State failed to prove each of these elements beyond a reasonable doubt, you must find the Defendant not guilty of dissemination of matter harmful to minors, a Class D Felony as charged in Count 5.

A matter or performance is harmful to minors if:

- 1. It describes or represents, in any form, nudity, sexual conduct, sexual excitement or sado-masochistic abuse;
- 2. Considered as a whole, it appeals to the prurient interest in sex of minors;
- 3. It is patently offensive to prevailing standards in the adult community as a whole with respect to what is suitable matter for or performance before minors; and
- 4. Considered as a whole, it lacks serious literary, artistic, political, or scientific value for minors.

FINAL JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 9

Under the law of this State, a person charged with a crime is presumed to be innocent. To overcome the presumption of innocence, the State must prove the defendant guilty of each essential element of the crime charged, beyond a reasonable doubt.

The defendant is not required to present any evidence to prove his innocence or to prove or explain anything.

FINAL JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 10

The burden is upon the State to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of the crime(s) charged. It is a strict and heavy burden. The evidence must overcome any reasonable doubt concerning the defendant's guilt. But it does not mean that a defendant's guilt must be proved beyond all possible doubt.

A reasonable doubt is a fair, actual and logical doubt based upon reason and common sense. A reasonable doubt may arise either from the evidence or from a lack of evidence. A reasonable doubt exists when you are not firmly convinced of the defendant's guilt, after you have weighed and considered all the evidence.

A defendant must not be convicted on suspicion or speculation. It is not enough for the State to show that the defendant is probably guilty. On the other hand, there are very few things in this world that we know with absolute certainty. The State does not have to overcome every possible doubt.

The State must prove each element of the crime(s) by evidence that firmly convinces each of you and leaves no reasonable doubt. The proof must be so convincing that you rely and act upon it in this matter of the highest importance.

If you find that there is a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of the crime(s), you must give the defendant the benefit of that doubt and find the defendant not guilty of the crime(s) under consideration.

FINAL JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 11

It is for the jury to determine the weight and credit to be given the statements made by A.Y. to Trooper Kevin Bowling, Tonya Caves, Richard Caves, and A.Y.'s videotaped statements made at the Comfort House on April 18th, 2008. In making that determination, the jury shall consider the following:

- 1. The mental and physical age of the person making the statement or videotape.
- 2. The nature of the statement or videotape.
- 3. The circumstances under which the statement or videotape was made.
- 4. Other relevant factors.

FINAL JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 12

The evidence must be judged and considered from your memory of the testimony of the witnesses and such exhibits as may have been admitted for your examination.

FINAL JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 13

The unsworn statements or comments of counsel on either side of the case should not be considered as evidence in this case. It is your duty to determine the facts from the testimony and evidence admitted by the court and given in your presence, and you should disregard any and all information that you may derive from any other source.

FINAL JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 14

Neither sympathy nor prejudice for or against either the alleged victim or the defendant in this cause should be allowed to influence you in whatever verdict you may find.

FINAL JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 15

These instructions do not contain any information concerning the penalties that could be imposed upon a conviction. The judge is solely responsible for assessing the

penalty within a broad range of possibilities. The law has been so written that you may make your decisions without being influenced by the apparent severity or leniency of the sentence.

FINAL JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 16

Your verdict must represent the considered judgment of each juror. In order to return a verdict of guilt or innocence you must all agree.

It is your duty, as jurors, to consult with one another and to deliberate with a view toward reaching an agreement, if you can do so without violence to individual judgment. Each of you must decide the case for yourself, but do so only after an impartial consideration of the evidence with your fellow jurors. In the course of your deliberations, do not hesitate to re-examine your own views and change your opinion if convinced it is erroneous. But do not surrender your honest conviction as to the weight or effect of evidence solely because of the opinion of your fellow jurors, or for the mere purpose of returning a verdict.

After you return a verdict, you are under no obligation to discuss it, or the reasons for it, with anyone.

After you have retired to your jury room the bailiff of this court will be in attendance, but he/she cannot be present in your jury room during any of your deliberations.

Should you at any time during your deliberations of the cause leave the jury room, you must leave in a body and at all times be in the charge of the bailiff. While you are absent from the jury room, you must not talk about this case among yourselves, with the bailiff or with any other person or persons.

During your deliberations, should you have any questions you should put them in writing and deliver them to the bailiff, who will deliver them to the court. Most often, the court cannot answer your questions except by re-reading the court's instructions. As the court is sending the court's instructions with you to the jury room, you may be able to answer your questions by reviewing the court's instructions.

The Court will be sending to the jury room all exhibits admitted into evidence during this trial.

When there is a disagreement among jurors concerning the nature of testimony given during a trial, the jurors may request the Court to have the disputed testimony reread or replayed for the jury. If there is no disagreement or dispute among jurors about testimony, the Court will not reread or replay testimony for the jury. If the jury requests the Court reread or replay testimony without indicating that there is a dispute or disagreement among jurors about the testimony, the Court will not reread or replay

the testimony. If the jury indicates or states that there is a disagreement or dispute among jurors about certain testimony the Court will reread or replay that testimony for the jury.

The court is submitting to you forms of possible verdicts you may return in this case. These forms will be supplied to you when you retire to the jury room for deliberations.

Upon retiring to the jury room, you will select one of your members as foreperson. The foreperson will preside over your deliberations and must sign and date the verdict(s) to which you all agree. Do not sign any verdict form for which there is not a unanimous agreement. The foreperson must return all verdict forms into open court.

When you have agreed upon a verdict(s), you will inform the bailiff that you have agreed. You will remain in the jury room until the bailiff, on order of the court, conducts you into open court where your verdict(s) will be reviewed and read.

FINAL JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 17

If, at any time any juror realizes that you have personal knowledge of any fact that is material to this case, you shall inform the bailiff immediately.

FINAL JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 18

In the preliminary instructions previously given, the Court has already instructed you as to the credibility of witnesses and the manner of weighing the testimony and evidence received, charges are not any evidence of guilt, definitions of knowingly, intentionally, deviate sexual conduct, minor, and matter. You have copies of these instructions and they are preliminary instruction numbers 18, 15, 10, 11, 12, and 13. These instructions will not be re-read to you and you will keep them in mind as they are applicable to the trial and your deliberations.

FINAL JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 19

The alternate juror, Claude Rottet, shall not take part in or participate in any deliberations of the jury in any way whatsoever unless he replaces a regular juror. Replacement of a regular juror shall only occur by order of the Court. Regular members of the jury shall not ask questions of the alternate jurors concerning evidence, his recollection of the evidence or induce or cause him to participate in deliberations in any way whatsoever.

You have been selected as jurors and you are bound by your oath to try this case fairly and honestly.

You are permitted to discuss the evidence among yourselves in the jury room during recesses from trial only when all jurors are present. You should keep an open mind. You should not form or express any conclusion or judgment about the outcome of the case until the Court submits the case to you for your deliberations.

You must not talk about this case with anyone else. Do not talk to any of the parties, their lawyers or any of the witnesses. If anyone tries to talk about the case in your presence, you should tell the Bailiff immediately and privately. If there is any publicity about this trial, you must not read, listen to or watch it.

You should focus your attention on the court proceedings and the evidence, and reach a verdict based upon what you hear and see in this Court.

PRELIMINARY JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 2

You are to consider all the instructions together. Do not single out any certain sentence or any individual point or instruction and ignore the others.

PRELIMINARY JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 3

Under the Constitution of Indiana the jury has the right to determine both the law and the facts. The Court's instructions are your best source in determining the law.

In this case, the State of Indiana has charged the Defendant with the following counts:

Count 1: Child Molesting

William Wibbels, a Police Officer, affirms under the pains and penalties of perjury that on or about the 13th day of April, 2007, in Harrison County, State of Indiana, one Lawrence E. Nunley, a person at least twenty-one (21) years of age, who with a child under fourteen (14) years of age, identified as A.Y., did perform or submit to deviate sexual conduct, to-wit: Lawrence E. Nunley, who was born October 23, 1966, touched the vagina of A.Y., who was born June 24, 2000, with his mouth, which is contrary to the form and the statute in such cases made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Indiana.

Count 2: Child Molesting

William Wibbels, a Police Officer, affirms under the pains and penalties of perjury that on or about the 13th day of April, 2007, in Harrison County, State of Indiana, one Lawrence E. Nunley, a person at least twenty-one (21) years of age, who with a child under fourteen (14) years of age, identified as A.Y., did perform or submit to deviate sexual conduct, to-wit: Lawrence E. Nunley, who was born October 23, 1966,had A.Y., who was born June 24, 2000, put her mouth on his penis, which is contrary to the form and the statute in such cases made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Indiana.

Count 3: Child Molesting

William Wibbels, a Police Officer, affirms under the pains and penalties of perjury that on or about the 13th day of April, 2007, in Harrison County, State of Indiana, one Lawrence E. Nunley, a person at least twenty-one (21) years of age, who with a child under fourteen (14) years of age, identified as A.Y., did perform or submit to deviate sexual conduct, to-wit: Lawrence E. Nunley, who was born October 23, 1966, put his hand in the vagina of A.Y., who was born June 24, 2000, which is contrary to the form and the statute in such cases made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Indiana.

Count 4: Child Molesting

William Wibbels, a Police Officer, affirms under the pains and penalties of perjury that on or about the 13th day of April, 2007, in Harrison County, State of Indiana, one Lawrence E. Nunley, did then and there with a child less than fourteen (14) years of age, identified as A.Y., did perform or submit to any fondling or touching, of either the child or himself, with intent to arouse or to satisfy the sexual desires of either the child or himself, to-wit: Lawrence E. Nunley, touched the vagina of A.Y., who was born June 24, 2000, with his penis, which is contrary to the form and the statute in such cases made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Indiana.

Count 5: Dissemination of Matter Harmful to Minors

William Wibbels, a Police Officer, affirms under the pains and penalties of perjury that on or about the 13th day of April, 2007, in Harrison County, State of Indiana, one Lawrence E. Nunley, did then and there display matter that was harmful to a minor in an area to which the minor had visual, auditory, or physical access, and that the minor was not accompanied by a parent or guardian, to-wit: Lawrence E. Nunley showed a pornographic movie to A.Y. who was born June 24, 2000, and who was not accompanied by her parent or guardian, which is contrary to the form and the statute in such cases made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Indiana.

PRELIMINARY JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 5

The crime of Child Molesting is defined by law as follows: A person at least twenty-one (21) years of age who, with a child under fourteen (14) years of age, performs or submits to deviate sexual conduct, commits Child Molesting, a Class A Felony, as charged in Count 1.

Before you may convict the defendant, the State must have proved each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

- 1. The defendant, Lawrence E. Nunley,
- 2. knowingly or intentionally
- 3. performed or submitted to deviate sexual conduct with A.Y., namely, touched the vagina of A.Y. with his mouth
- 4. when A.Y. was a child under fourteen (14) years of age, and
- 5. when Lawrence E. Nunley was at least twenty-one (21) years of age.

If the State failed to prove each of these elements beyond a reasonable doubt, you must find the Defendant not guilty of the crime of Child Molesting, a Class A Felony, as charged in Count 1.

The crime of Child Molesting is defined by law as follows: A person at least twenty-one (21) years of age who, with a child under fourteen (14) years of age, performs or submits to deviate sexual conduct, commits Child Molesting, a Class A Felony, as charged in Count 2.

Before you may convict the defendant, the State must have proved each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

- 1. The defendant, Lawrence E. Nunley,
- 2. knowingly or intentionally
- performed or submitted to deviate sexual conduct with A.Y., namely, had A.Y. put her mouth on his penis
- 4. when A.Y. was a child under fourteen (14) years of age, and
- 5. when Lawrence E. Nunley was at least twenty-one (21) years of age.

If the State failed to prove each of these elements beyond a reasonable doubt, you must find the Defendant not guilty of the crime of Child Molesting, a Class A Felony, as charged in Count 2.

PRELIMINARY JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 7

The crime of Child Molesting is defined by law as follows: A person at least twenty-one (21) years of age who, with a child under fourteen (14) years of age, performs or submits to deviate sexual conduct, commits Child Molesting, a Class A Felony, as charged in Count 3.

Before you may convict the defendant, the State must have proved each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

- 1. The defendant, Lawrence E. Nunley,
- 2. knowingly or intentionally
- 3. performed or submitted to deviate sexual conduct with A.Y., namely, put his hand in the vagina of A.Y.
- 4. when A.Y. was a child under fourteen (14) years of age, and
- 5. when Lawrence E. Nunley was at least twenty-one (21) years of age.

If the State failed to prove each of these elements beyond a reasonable doubt, you must find the Defendant not guilty of the crime of Child Molesting, a Class A Felony, as charged in Count 3.

The crime of Child Molesting is defined by law as follows: A person who, with a child under fourteen (14) years of age, performs or submits to any fondling or touching, of either the child or the older person with intent to arouse or to satisfy the sexual desires of either the child or the older person, commits Child Molesting, a Class C Felony, as charged in Count 4.

Before you may convict the defendant, the State must have proved each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

- 1. The defendant, Lawrence E. Nunley,
- 2. knowingly or intentionally
- 3. performed or submitted to any fondling or touching of A.Y. or Lawrence E. Nunley
- 4. with the intent to arouse or satisfy the sexual desires of A.Y. or Lawrence E. Nunley
- 5. when A.Y. was a child under fourteen (14) years of age.

If the State failed to prove each of these elements beyond a reasonable doubt, you must find the Defendant not guilty of the crime of Child Molesting, a Class C Felony, as charged in Count 4.

The crime of dissemination of matter harmful to minors is defined by law as follows:

A person who knowingly or intentionally displays matter that is harmful to minors in an area to which minors have visual, auditory, or physical access, unless each minor is accompanied by the minors' parent or guardian, commits dissemination of matter harmful to minors, a Class D Felony as charged in Count 5.

Before you may convict the Defendant, the State must have proved each of the following beyond a reasonable doubt:

- 1. The Defendant, Lawrence E. Nunley
- 2. knowingly or intentionally
- 3. displayed matter that is harmful to minors in an area to which A.Y. had visual, auditory, or physical access
- 4. when A.Y. was not accompanied by her parent or guardian.

If the State failed to prove each of these elements beyond a reasonable doubt, you must find the Defendant not guilty of dissemination of matter harmful to minors, a Class D Felony as charged in Count 5.

PRELIMINARY JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 10

Intentionally and knowingly are defined by statute as follows:

A person engages in conduct "intentionally" if, when he engages in the conduct, it is his conscious objective to do so.

A person engages in conduct "knowingly" if, when he engages in this conduct, he is aware of a high probability that he is doing so.

PRELIMINARY JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 11

The term "deviate sexual conduct" means an act involving:

- 1. A sex organ of one person and the mouth or anus of another person; or
- The penetration of the sex organ or anus of a person by an object.

PRELIMINARY JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 12

The term "minor" means any individual under the age of eighteen (18) years.

The term "matter" means:

- 1. Any book, magazine, newspaper, or other printed or written material;
- 2. Any picture, drawing, photograph, motion picture, digitized image, or other pictorial representation;
- 3. Any statue or other figure;
- 4. Any recording, transcription, or mechanical, chemical, or electrical reproduction; or
- 5. Any other articles, equipment, machines, or materials.

PRELIMINARY JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 14

A matter or performance is harmful to minors if:

- 1. It describes or represents, in any form, nudity, sexual conduct, sexual excitement or sado-masochistic abuse;
- 2. Considered as a whole, it appeals to the prurient interest in sex of minors;
- It is patently offensive to prevailing standards in the adult community as a whole with respect to what is suitable matter for or performance before minors; and
- 4. Considered as a whole, it lacks serious literary, artistic, political, or scientific value for minors.

PRELIMINARY JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 15

The charges which have been filed is the formal method of bringing the defendant to trial. The filing of a charge or the defendant's arrest is not to be considered by you as any evidence of quilt.

A plea of not guilty has been entered on behalf of the defendant.

PRELIMINARY JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 16

Under the law of this State, a person charged with a crime is presumed to be innocent. To overcome the presumption of innocence, the State must prove the defendant guilty of each essential element of the crime charged, beyond a reasonable doubt.

The defendant is not required to present any evidence to prove his innocence or to prove or explain anything.

The burden is upon the State to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of the crime(s) charged. It is a strict and heavy burden. The evidence must overcome any reasonable doubt concerning the defendant's guilt. But it does not mean that a defendant's guilt must be proved beyond all possible doubt.

A reasonable doubt is a fair, actual and logical doubt based upon reason and common sense. A reasonable doubt may arise either from the evidence or from a lack of evidence. A reasonable doubt exists when you are not firmly convinced of the defendant's guilt, after you have weighed and considered all the evidence.

A defendant must not be convicted on suspicion or speculation. It is not enough for the State to show that the defendant is probably guilty. On the other hand, there are very few things in this world that we know with absolute certainty. The State does not have to overcome every possible doubt.

The State must prove each element of the crime(s) by evidence that firmly convinces each of you and leaves no reasonable doubt. The proof must be so convincing that you rely and act upon it in this matter of the highest importance.

If you find that there is a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of the crime(s), you must give the defendant the benefit of that doubt and find the defendant not guilty of the crime(s) under consideration.

PRELIMINARY JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 18

You are the exclusive judges of the evidence, which may be either witness testimony or exhibits. In considering the evidence, it is your duty to decide the value you give to the exhibits you receive and the testimony you hear.

In determining the significance of a witness' testimony, some factors you may consider are:

- 1. The witness' ability and opportunity to observe;
- 2. the behavior of the witness while testifying:
- 3. any interest, bias, or prejudice the witness may have;
- 4. any relationship with people involved in the case;
- 5. the reasonableness of the testimony considering the other evidence;
- 6. your knowledge, common sense, and life experiences.

You should not disregard the testimony of any witness without reason and without careful consideration. If you find conflicting testimony, you must determine which of the witnesses you will believe and which of them you will disbelieve. The quantity of evidence or the number of witnesses need not control your determination of the truth. You should give the greatest value to the evidence you find most convincing.

During the trial, the Court may rule that certain questions may not be answered and/or that certain exhibits may not be allowed into evidence. You must not concern yourselves with the reasons for the rulings. The Court's rulings are strictly controlled by law.

Occasionally, the Court may strike evidence from the record after you have already seen or heard it. You must not consider such evidence in making your decision.

Your verdict should be based only on the evidence admitted and the instructions on the law. Nothing I say or do is intended to recommend what facts or what verdict you should find.

PRELIMINARY JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 20

You must decide the facts from your memory of the testimony and exhibits admitted for your consideration. You may take notes during the trial. However, do not become so involved in note taking that you fail to listen carefully and observe the witnesses as they testify.

PRELIMINARY JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 21

If at any time you realize you know something about the case or know a witness or the defendant, you must inform the bailiff privately at your earliest opportunity.

PRELIMINARY JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 22

The trial of this case will proceed as follows:

First, the attorneys will have an opportunity to make opening statements. These statements are not evidence and should be considered only as a preview of what the attorneys expect the evidence will be.

Following the opening statements, witnesses will be called to testify. They will be placed under oath and questioned by the attorneys. Exhibits may also be received as evidence. If an exhibit is given to you to examine, you should examine it carefully, individually, and without comment.

When the evidence is completed, the attorneys may make final arguments. These final arguments are not evidence. The attorneys are permitted to characterize the evidence, discuss the law and attempt to persuade you to a particular verdict. You may accept or reject those arguments as you see fit.

Finally, just before you begin your deliberations, I will give you further instructions on the law.

PRELIMINARY JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 23

Under the Constitution of Indiana the Jury is given the right to decide both the law and the facts. Jurors may ask questions of witnesses. Questions must be asked before a witness leaves the courtroom. Should any juror have a question for a witness you should put it in writing and deliver it to the Bailiff. The Judge will review the question and determine whether it is an appropriate question. Rules of evidence strictly control the kinds of questions and matters that may be brought before the jury. If the Judge rules your question is not appropriate such ruling should not be considered as any evidence for or against either side in this cause.

IN THE INDIANA COURT OF APPEALS

Appellate Case No:	
LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY)	
,)	Appeal from the <u>Harrison Superior</u>
)	Court, No
/Appellant(s), vs.	Trial Court Case No:
	31D01-0805-FA-389
STATE OF INDIANA)	•
	The Honorable
/A 13 / N	ROGER D. DAVIS , Judge
/Appellee(s).)	
NOTICE OF COMPLET	ION OF CLERK'S RECORD
Sally Whitis , Cobork/D	eputy Clerk of Harrison Superior
Court, hereby notifies	the parties, pursuant to Appellate Rule 10(C), that
the Clerk's Record in this case has been asser	mbled and is complete. As of the date below, the
Transcript is (select one):	
(a) Completed and filed with thi	s clerk.
X (b) Not yet completed.	·
(c) Not requested in the Notice of	of Appeal.
Attached to this Notice of Completion is a cert	tified and updated copy of the Chronological Case
Summary.	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Gl	Sally Whitis
	te issued

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on March 6, 2009, I sent by United States Mail, postage pre-paid, a copy of this notice to all parties of record and the original and one copy of this notice, with a certified copy of the Chronological Case Summary attached, to the Clerk of the Indiana Supreme Court, Court of Appeals, and Tax Court for filing.

STATE OF INDIANA

IN THE INDIANA COURT OF APPEALS 11 8: 13

CASE NO. 31A01-0902-CR-088	
LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY,) APPEAL FROM THE HARRISON
Appellant) SUPERIOR COURT
VS.) TRIAL COURT CASE NO.) 31D01-0805-FA-389
STATE OF INDIANA,) THE HONORABLE ROGER D. DAVIS,
Appellee) JUDGE

NOTICE OF FILING OF TRANSCRIPT

Karen Hamilton, Court Reporter of the Harrison Superior Court, hereby notifies the parties, pursuant to Appellate Rule 11(A), that the Transcript in this cause has been prepared and certified, and is complete. This ______ day of May, 2009, the Transcript was filed with the Clerk of the Trial Court in accordance with Appellate Rule[s] [28, 29 and/or 30].

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on the 15th day of May, 2009, I sent copies of this document to all parties of record by United States Mail, postage pre-paid or in person.

Court Reporter

IN THE INDIANA COURT OF APPEALS

Appellate Case No:			
LAWRENCE E. NUNLEY)			
,	Appeal from the Harrison Superior		
)) /A=mallant(a)	Court, No		
/Appellant(s),)	Trial Court Case No:		
VS.) STATE OF INDIANA)	31D01-0805-FA-389		
)	The Honorable Roger D. Davis		
.)) /Appellee(s).)	, Judge		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
NOTICE OF COMPLETION OF TRANSCRIPT			
	ty Clerk of <u>Harrison Superior</u>		
Court, hereby notifies the parties, pursuant to Appellate Rule			
10(D), that the Transcript in this case has been con	npleted and filed. Ally Whits Deputy Glerk		
May 26, 2009 Date issued			
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE			
I certify that on May 26, 2009, I sent copy of this notice to all parties of record and the Clerk of the Indiana Supreme Court, Court of App	original and one copy of this notice to the		
Ølørk	Lacy Whitis Deputy Plerk		

VERIFICATION OF ACCURACY OF APPENDIX

I verify under penalties of perjury that the documents in this Appendix are accurate copies of parts of the Record on Appeal.

Respectfully Submitted,

Matthew Jon McGovern

Atty. No.: 21016-49

P.O. Box 5583

Evansville, Indiana 47716

(812) 842-0286

Attorney for Appellant